



**TITLE:** Breadmaking performance and textural changes during storage of composite breads made from spelt wheat and different forms of amaranth grain

**AUTHORS:** Bojana Filipčev, Marija Bodroža-Solarov, Mladenka Pestorić, Olivera Šimurina

This article is provided by author(s) and FINS Repository in accordance with publisher policies.

The correct citation is available in the FINS Repository record for this article.

**NOTICE:** This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication *Food Science and Technology International*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Food Science and Technology International*, Volume 23, Issue 3, April 2017, Pages 235–244. DOI: 10.1177/1082013216683133

This item is made available to you under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative Works – CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 Serbia



1           **BREADMAKING PERFORMANCE AND TEXTURAL CHANGES DURING STORAGE OF**  
2           **COMPOSITE BREADS MADE FROM SPELT WHEAT AND DIFFERENT FORMS OF GRAIN**  
3   **AMARANTH**

4  
5           BOJANA FILIPČEV, MARIJA BODROŽA-SOLAROV, MLADENKA PESTORIĆ and OLIVERA  
6   ŠIMURINA

7  
8           Institute of Food Technology, University of Novi Sad, Bul. Cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

9           Correspondence to:

10          B. Filipčev, E-mail: [Bojana.filipcev@fins.uns.ac.rs](mailto:Bojana.filipcev@fins.uns.ac.rs), Phone: +381 21 485 3778

11  
12          **ABSTRACT**

13          The objectives of the present study were to assess the baking properties of composite spelt wheat-amaranth  
14          blends and to study the staling of composite breads during a 6-day storage. Different forms of amaranth  
15          grains were added to spelt bread formulation: native amaranth flour and flour from popped amaranth,  
16          including their steamed and non-steamed variants. Native amaranth flour (both steamed and non-steamed)  
17          gave loaves with the highest volume and contributed to significantly softer crumb but not in comparison to the  
18          control bread. Crumb resilience did not show significant differences among the breads but there were

1 differences in the crumb stress-relaxation parameters which indicated certain influence on the crumb visco-  
2 elastic properties.

3 During storage, all samples developed firmer and less elastic crumbs. Drying loss and staling degree  
4 significantly increased with increased storage time. The staling rate was the highest in the bread with non-  
5 steamed amaranth flours (native and flour from popped amaranth). The changes in the crumb textural and  
6 elastic properties caused by staling turned significant after 6 days of storage. In general, inclusion of different  
7 forms of amaranth flour did not alter the staling of breads and they exerted similar behaviour during storage.

8 Key words: composite bread, spelt, amaranth, staling, texture, stress-relaxation, storage, staling.

## 9 **INTRODUCTION**

10 Composite bakery products have high potentials as vehicles of functional ingredients and development of  
11 functional products. These products are based on composite flours which represent blends of wheat and non-  
12 wheat flour in appropriate proportions (Seibel 2006). Composite bakery products offer many advantages,  
13 ranging from improved nutritive profile of newly developed composite formulations to extended assortment  
14 of bakery products.

15 Spelt wheat is ideal for the production of organic bread because it is a low-input plant, suitable for growth  
16 without pesticides and extensive fertilization. Nutritionally, spelt wheat is similar to conventional wheat or  
17 even better, however, it is unbalanced due to lack of lysine (Kohajdova and Karovičova, 2008). Substitution  
18 of spelt wheat with other ingredients that may complement this deficiency is advisable. But, to produce bread  
19 labeled as organic, at least 95% of ingredients must be organic (Smith *et al.* 2012). Thus, ingredients feasible  
20 for organic production are highly preferred.

1 Amaranth has strong disposition for cultivation in organic systems (Bavec and Bavec, 2006) and has been  
2 shown in numerous works as an adequate non-wheat ingredient to be combined with wheat (Grobelnik  
3 Mlakar *et al.* 2009). Therefore, it may be suitable for composing organic composite products. Amaranth  
4 contains high quality proteins rich in lysine, high fat and iron contents. Breshears and Crowe (2013) reported  
5 that folate content was doubled in gluten-free amaranth breads in comparison to the control. Lacko-Bartošova  
6 and Korczyk-Szabó (2012) investigated the technological properties of spelt-amaranth composite flours and  
7 reported positively on the baking potential of the composite flours which was in line with the previous finding  
8 of Grobelnik Mlakar *et al.* (2009).

9 During storage, bread undergoes a set of severe physicochemical and sensory changes known as staling  
10 (Cauvain, 1998) that result in a loss of freshness and overall quality. The most important change associated  
11 with staling is a gradual loss of moisture and crumb elasticity, an increase in crumb firmness, loss of aroma,  
12 crumbling etc. In spite of extensive research, the mechanism of the phenomenon has not been yet resolved.

13 The present study was carried out to investigate the potential of various forms of amaranth in composite spelt  
14 breads. The first objective was to study the physical, textural and viscoelastic properties of composite breads  
15 as affected by incorporation of various amaranth forms (steamed and non-steamed variants of native amaranth  
16 flour and flour from popped amaranth). To the best of our knowledge, there is little data on the staling of spelt  
17 wheat-amaranth composite breads. Against this background, the second objective was to study the quality  
18 changes associated with the composite breads over a six-day storage period to see whether different amaranth  
19 forms have a tendency to ameliorate or aggravate the changes.

20

## 21 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 22 **Material**

1 For the preparation of composite spelt-amaranth breads, spelt flour was procured from the local ecological  
2 agricultural farm „Jevtić“ (Bačko Gradište, Serbia). *Amaranthus cruentus* grain was purchased in the local  
3 market. Popped amaranth was prepared by heating the grains on a hot plate at 200° C for 10 s.

4 Raw amaranth flour was prepared by milling whole amaranth grain on a Buhler laboratory mill (Buhler AG,  
5 Switzerland). The bran fraction was discarded whilst the two flour passages were combined and used in the  
6 experiment. Flour from popped amaranth grain was obtained by milling popped amaranth grains on a hammer  
7 mill Lab Mill 3100 Perten (Sweden).

8 Steaming of amaranth flour was performed by pouring 2 parts of hot water over 1 part of amaranth flour,  
9 stirring, covering the blend with a lid and letting it swell for 30 min.

#### 10 **Bread preparation**

11 The basic formulation for the composite breads included (on flour basis): 100% spelt flour, 2.5% fresh  
12 compressed yeast, 2% salt and 0.050 g/kg ascorbic acid. In the composite breads, different forms of amaranth  
13 flour (raw flour, flour from popped grain, their steamed variants) were added at 10% level (flour basis). These  
14 ingredients were mixed according to the breadmaking procedure described in Filipčev *et al.* (2013). Final  
15 fermentation time was 55 min. Baking was performed in a deck oven at 230° C for 20 min.

16 After baking, the loaves were left to cool for 2 hours. The loaves were then individually wrapped up in a  
17 food-grade biodegradable perforated cellophane (28 µm) and stored at room temperature. The ends were  
18 secured with a sticking tape. Though this material is not optimal solution for bread packaging, its use to pack  
19 organic, traditional and artisan breads is not unusual because, unlike polymer materials, cellophane is regarded

1 compatible with the concept of organic food and environmental sustainability due to its natural origin and  
2 biodegradability.

### 3 **Determination of bread quality attributes**

4 Measurements of bread quality attributes were performed 24 hours after baking. Millet seed displacement  
5 method was used to measure loaf volume. Specific volume was calculated as a ratio of loaf volume and  
6 weight. Volume yield (VY) was calculated according to equation (Eq. 1):

7 
$$\text{VY} = \frac{V}{W_{24h}} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

8 where  $V$  is loaf volume (ml);  $W_{24h}$  is loaf weight (g) one day after baking; BY is bread yield (g). BY is  
9 calculated as given by Eq. 2

10 
$$\text{BY} = \frac{DY}{W_{dough}} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

11 where  $DY$  is dough yield (g) and  $W_{dough}$  is dough weight (g).

12 Dough yield was calculated according to Eq. 3

13 
$$\text{DY} = \frac{W_{ingred}}{W_{flour}} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

14 where  $W_{ingred}$  is weight of all ingredients used to make dough in g,  $W_{flour}$  used for dough making, including  
15 flour used for dusting (g).

16 Textural properties of bread crumb (firmness and resilience) were determined on a texture analyzer TA-  
17 Xtplus (Stable Micro Systems, England). Crumb firmness was measured in accordance to AACC (2009),  
18 method 774-10A, using a 36 mm cylinder probe. Crumb firmness is defined as the force required to compress  
19 the crumb when 25% strain is achieved. Crumb resilience was determined as a percentage of recovery of

1 sample's height after maximal compression during 2 s followed by a recovery period of 15 s. This parameter  
2 was derived to mimic the palpatory evaluation of crumb elasticity (by pressing the crumb with fingers).  
3 Measurements were performed in six replicates.

4 In addition to evaluation of texture, fundamental visco-elastic properties of bread crumb were determined by  
5 collecting stress-relaxation data (Wu et al., 2012) measured on a texture analyzer TA-Xtplus. Prior to  
6 analysis, 24 mm diameter cylinders were cut out from the central part of 20 mm-thick bread slice. Test was  
7 conducted by compressing the crumb sample with a 45 mm stainless steel cylindric probe to a constant strain  
8 of 12% at 0.5 mm/s speed. The residual force was continuously recorded as a function of time during 600 s.  
9 Data extracted from the recorded stress relaxation curves were subjected to analysis using the Peleg-Normand  
10 model (Eq. 4).

11 ————— (Eq. 4)

12 where  $F_0$  is initial force,  $F(t)$  is the force at time  $t$  and  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants. The  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  values are the  
13 intercept and slope of regressive straight line plotted by normalized force and time, respectively. Data were  
14 analysed in duplicates.

#### 15 **Determination of changes in bread quality during storage**

16 Assessment of changes in bread crumb properties during storage was performed by determining the following  
17 parameters: drying loss, crumbliness, staling degree and staling rate.

18 *Drying loss* was measured by determining the difference in the sample moisture content after 1, 3 and 6 days  
19 of storage. Moisture content was determined according to the standard AOAC methods (2000).

1 *Crumbliness* was determined by sieving test as described in Filipović *et al.* (2009). Nine cube-shaped crumb  
2 pieces, size 25x25x25 mm, were cut out from the central part of bread slices. The crumb cubes were then  
3 sieved through a sieve with a mesh size 1.5 mm for 15 min at 190 r/min. Crumbliness was determined as the  
4 weight of throughs expressed as a percentage of the original weight of the sample.

5 *Staling degree* was defined as a percentage change in crumb hardness after the given period of storage. This  
6 parameter was calculated according to equation (Eq.5):

7 
$$\frac{F_t - F_1}{F_1} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

8 where  $F_t$  and  $F_1$  represent crumb firmness after  $t$  time of storage and 1 day of storage, respectively.

9 *Staling rate* was calculated by regression analysis as in Sciarini *et al.* (2010) with a modification as here the  
10 crumb firmness, measured during storage, was adjusted to exponential model  $y = k e^{-kt}$  where  $y$  denotes  
11 crumb firmness,  $t$  storage time and  $k$  staling rate constant.

## 12 **Statistical analysis**

13 One-way ANOVA was used to study the quality parameters of composite spelt-amaranth breads and their  
14 changes during storage. Honestly significant differences (Tukey's test) were calculated to differentiate  
15 between the means at significance  $p < 0.05$ . To analyse relationships between the parameters, exploratory  
16 factor analysis (FA) was used as a tool to reveal structure in the data set and identify variables that are highly  
17 interrelated because they measure the same „construct“. The analyses were performed using the Statistica 12  
18 software (Statsoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK). Data were in triplicate unless otherwise stated.



1 Cluster analysis (CA) was used to classify different composite bread formulations into groups on the basis of  
2 multiple variables (quality parameters and parameters describing changes during storage. The measure of  
3 dissimilarity between the samples was Euclidean distance and the Ward's method was used agglomerate data.  
4 XLStat software ([www.xlstat.com](http://www.xlstat.com)) was used to perform the calculations.

## 5 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 6 **Volume and texture of composite breads**

7 Table 1 shows volume-related parameters of breads obtained from 4 amaranth-spelt composite formulations  
8 and a control, 100% spelt formulation. Significantly higher specific volume and volume yield was determined  
9 for composite breads containing amaranth flour and steamed amaranth flour. Grobelnik Mlakar *et al.* (2008)  
10 found that, amaranth flour at 10% substitution level did not have detrimental effect on bread volume. Alvarez-  
11 Jubete *et al.* (2010) suggested that lipids in amaranth may act as surface-active agents which stabilize gas  
12 cells prior to starch gelatinization, contributing thus to higher volume. In contrast, Sanz-Penella *et al.* (2013)  
13 and Ayo (2001) observed a gradual decrease in loaf volume as a consequence of amaranth flour addition to  
14 wheat flour, but at 4-5 times higher levels of supplementation, showing the importance of the applied  
15 supplementation level. The formulations based on amaranth flour which underwent more severe hydrothermal  
16 treatment (flour from non-steamed and steamed popped amaranth) showed lower volumes. Similar findings  
17 were reported by Bodroža-Solarov *et al.* (2008) during addition of popped amaranth to wheat flour at 10-20%  
18 levels. Martinez *et al.* (2013) reported negative effects of pregelatinized (extruded) rice flour on the volume of  
19 the gluten-free breads. Dapčević Hadnađev *et al.* (2014) reported positive effects of pregelatinized starch  
20 sodium octenyl succinate (OSA) on the bread volume (5% replacement level) and attributed this to the ability  
21 of already gelatinized starch to develop dough structure and positively affect loaf volume.

1 **Table 1.** Physical, textural and viscoelastic properties of spelt-amaranth composite breads

Property	Composite spelt-amaranth breads				
	Control	Non-steamed		Steamed	
		Amaranth flour	popped Amaranth	Amaranth flour	popped Amaranth
Volume (ml)	490.0±10.6 <sup>a</sup>	500.8±11.7 <sup>b</sup>	465.0±15.8 <sup>a</sup>	515.0±15.0 <sup>b</sup>	458.3±10.6 <sup>a</sup>
Specific volume (ml/g)	3.92±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.00±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.49±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.09±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.47±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
Volume yield (ml)	354.4±9.1 <sup>b</sup>	368.54±6.0 <sup>b,c</sup>	334.1±10.6 <sup>a</sup>	383.6±3.4 <sup>c</sup>	329.7±6.0 <sup>a</sup>
Firmness (g)	794±63.7 <sup>a,b</sup>	619.81±107.8 <sup>a</sup>	854.98±83.2 <sup>b</sup>	620.33±36.6 <sup>a</sup>	854.64±34.8 <sup>b</sup>
Resilience (%)	64.02±2.0 <sup>a</sup>	66.58±2.9 <sup>a</sup>	65.12±2.5 <sup>a</sup>	63.70±3.0 <sup>a</sup>	63.01±3.0 <sup>a</sup>
Fmax (g)	41.73±13.2 <sup>a</sup>	41.67±10.1 <sup>a</sup>	51.61±11.3 <sup>a</sup>	34.88±3.89 <sup>a</sup>	40.91±7.19 <sup>a</sup>
k <sub>1</sub> (s)	46.72±2.46 <sup>a</sup>	56.28±1.17 <sup>b,c</sup>	59.79±4.32 <sup>c</sup>	43.47±2.74 <sup>a</sup>	49.30±1.46 <sup>a,b</sup>
k <sub>2</sub>	1.94±0.07 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.88±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	2.03±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.86±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.91±0.03 <sup>a</sup>
%SR	50.11±1.87 <sup>a,b</sup>	51.44±0.67 <sup>b</sup>	47.76±1.15 <sup>a</sup>	52.32±1.02 <sup>b</sup>	50.67±0.72 <sup>a,b</sup>

2 <sup>a,b,c</sup> Mean value±Sd.

3 Mean values followed by a common letter within the same row are not significantly different (p<0.05).

4

5 As shown in Table 1, the composite breads made with native and steamed amaranth flour exhibited a

6 tendency toward softer crumb whereas addition of popped-amaranth flour in both steamed and non-steamed

1 variants gave firmer crumb. However, no significant differences were noted in comparison to the control  
2 bread. Tsai et al. (2012) noted that bread containing rice porridge yielded a softer crumb than that containing  
3 rice flour and explained this as an effect of gelatinized starch in rice porridge. Steaming of amaranth flour in  
4 the present study might have produced a similar effect. Sanz-Penella *et al.* (2013), Bodroža-Solarov *et al.*  
5 (2008) and Ayo (2001) studied wheat-amaranth composite breads and observed increased crumb hardness  
6 with an increase of whole amaranth flour up to 40 g/100 g wheat flour, i.e. popped amaranth flour from 10 to  
7 20% supplementation level i.e. amaranth flour up to 50% supplementation level, respectively, and explained  
8 this as a consequence of gluten dilution in composite flour. But, Oszvald *et al.* (2009) found that amaranth  
9 albumins are capable of interacting with gluten proteins thus improving dough strength. Moreover, high levels  
10 of fats and naturally present emulsifiers in amaranth may contribute to softer crumb (Alvarez-Jubete *et al.*  
11 2010). Several authors reported positive effects of native amaranth flour addition to wheat flour on dough  
12 rheological properties and baking potential (Grobelnik Mlakar *et al.* 2008; Lacko-Bartošova and Korczyk-  
13 Szabo, 2012).

14 Popping induces similar changes to amaranth grain as extrusion; it increases water and fat absorption of the  
15 grains as a consequence of starch gelatinization and molecule fragmentation (Zapotoczny *et al.* 2006).  
16 Menegassi *et al.* (2011) observed molecular and structural degradation in starch granules during extrusion  
17 cooking of amaranth flour. Martínez *et al.* (2013) reported that the addition of 5% of extruded wheat flour to  
18 bread did not produce significant differences in bread quality compared to the control, though certain crumb  
19 softening effect was observed in them. Pongjaruvat *et al.* (2014) found that addition of up to 20%  
20 replacement level) pregelatinized flour to rice gluten-free bread positively affected crumb firmness whereas  
21 higher replacement levels exerted detrimental effects. In the present study, bread with popped amaranth  
22 yielded firmer crumb and lower volume. De la Barca *et al.* (2010) noticed that popped amaranth at levels

1 >70% in gluten-free bread caused crumb collapse and explained this as a consequence of enhanced amaranth  
2 protein aggregation.

3 Crumb resilience did not show significant differences among the samples. However, the coefficients from the  
4 Peleg-Normand model showed differences: the bread with non-steamed popped amaranth flour showed  
5 significantly higher  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  values, indicating the most pronounced elastic nature. Another parameter,  
6 extracted directly from the stress relaxation curves, is percentage stress relaxation (%SR) and indicates the  
7 extent of relaxation. For the bread samples, it ranged from 47.8-52.3% showing that the breads are materials  
8 in which both elastic and viscous component are almost equally represented. Singh *et al.* (2006) reported  
9 similar ranges in baked products. According to this parameter, the most elastic was the bread with non-  
10 steamed popped amaranth, but significant difference existed only in relation to the bread with steamed  
11 amaranth flour.

## 12 **Changes in the properties of composite breads during storage**

13 During storage, bread undergoes many complex changes that are called staling. Bread staling is most  
14 frequently perceived as a loss of freshness which is a cumulative consequence of aroma loss, moisture  
15 migration from crumb to crust, increased crumb hardness and crumbliness, decreased elasticity, etc. Table 2  
16 displays the most important indicators of staling (drying loss, crumbliness, staling degree and staling rate)  
17 for the studied composite breads. Drying loss was similar for all bread samples after the first day and  
18 significantly increased after 3 days. The highest, statistically significant drying loss was registered for the  
19 formulations made with non-steamed flours (F1 and F2) after 6 days of storage. Crumbliness spanned  
20 between 9-11% on the first day of storage, and between 11-14% after 6 days of storage. During storage,  
21 crumbliness increased but without difference within the samples during storage at the same period of storage.

1 Staling degree significantly increased with prolongation of storage; it ranged between 60-96% after 3-day  
2 storage whereas after 3 more days, it increased and spanned between 290-580% (Table 2). The highest staling  
3 rate was presented by bread with non-steamed native amaranth flour and the lowest by the control and bread  
4 with steamed flour from popped amaranth. This coincides with the finding of Tsai et al. (2012) that bread  
5 containing rice porridge had slower rate of firming as compared to the control.

1 **Table 2.** Staling degree, staling rate, crumbliness and drying loss of spelt-amaranth breads during a 6-day storage

Bread sample	Drying loss (%)			Crumbliness (%)			Staling degree	
	Day 1	Day 3	Day 6	Day 1	Day 3	Day 6	After 3-day storage	A
Control	5.80±0.47 <sup>a</sup>	16.61±0.87 <sup>b</sup>	14.73 ±1.29 <sup>b</sup>	10.98±1.1 <sup>a-f</sup>	11.04±0.75 <sup>a-f</sup>	13.52±1.70 <sup>b-f</sup>	77.28±12.68 <sup>a,b</sup>	30
F1	5.42±0.48 <sup>a</sup>	15.46±0.79 <sup>b</sup>	26.67±1.25 <sup>c</sup>	10.39±0.83 <sup>a,b</sup>	11.29±0.90 <sup>a-f</sup>	14.17±1.68 <sup>d,f</sup>	68.01±11.39 <sup>a,b</sup>	58
F2	5.49±0.67 <sup>a</sup>	14.56±1.64 <sup>b</sup>	25.44±0.28 <sup>c</sup>	9.06±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	10.74±1.21 <sup>a-d</sup>	10.93±1.26 <sup>a-f</sup>	60.71±6.43 <sup>a</sup>	44
F3	4.56±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	14.19±1.49 <sup>b</sup>	16.55±0.56 <sup>b</sup>	10.48±1.06 <sup>a-c</sup>	12.87±1.42 <sup>b-f</sup>	14.09±1.35 <sup>c-f</sup>	96.37±5.27 <sup>b</sup>	35
F4	4.40±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	13.96±1.15 <sup>b</sup>	14.38±1.70 <sup>b</sup>	11.62±0.67 <sup>a-f</sup>	11.98±1.64 <sup>a-f</sup>	14.37±1.09 <sup>f</sup>	80.96±7.36 <sup>a,b</sup>	29

2 <sup>a,b,c</sup> Mean value±Sd; Mean values followed by a common letter within the same row are not significantly different (p<0.05).

3 F1-formulation with non-steamed amaranth flour; F2-formulation with non-steamed popped amaranth; F3-formulation with steamed

4 formulation with steamed popped amaranth

1 Changes in the bread texture during storage is presented in Figure 1. Significant increase in the crumb firmness was  
2 observed only after six days of storage. In this period, steamed amaranth flour bread was significantly softer than the  
3 non-steamed breads. In other storage periods, there was no significant difference among the samples. Crumb  
4 resilience progressively decreased with storage time, however, changes were significant only after six days of  
5 storage. The bread samples did not significantly differ among each other during the same period of storage.

6 [Figure 1 here]

7 In Fig. 2, changes in the stress relaxation parameters of composite breads during storage are presented. Parameters  $k_1$   
8 and  $k_2$  decreased during storage whereas %SR decreased, all indicating loss of crumb elastic properties. These  
9 changes were gradual and means significantly differed mainly between the values assessed after one day and six days  
10 of storage. An exception from the general trend was with samples containing steamed amaranth flour (native and  
11 popped) which showed a slight increase in  $k_1$  i.e. little improvement in crumb elasticity after 6 days of storage. In  
12 general, in terms of stress-relaxation parameters, breads with steamed amaranth ingredients showed somewhat better  
13 elastic crumb properties but this was not supported by the crumb resilience values. The difference is due to the fact  
14 that different strains were used when measuring these parameters.

15 [Figure 2 here]

## 16 **Cluster analysis**

17 Cluster analysis was performed to reveal the overall similarity between the bread samples during storage taking into  
18 account multiple parameters: specific volume, hardness, resilience,  $F_{max}$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , %SR, drying loss, crumbliness and  
19 staling degree. The resulting dendrogram is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the bread samples were gathered  
20 together into 3 groups. Actually, bread samples were grouped according to the storage period showing that they were  
21 all very similar among each other at the same storage period which is supported by previous observations. The  
22 samples one day after baking were less similar than those after 3 and 6 days of storage as they were joined together  
23 at distance 0.53. The remaining amalgamation steps occurred at very small distance (around 0.1) between the bread  
24 samples aged for 3 and 6 days showing they were very similar.

25 [Figure 3 here]

## 1 Factor analysis

2 Factor analysis was performed on mean values of quality attributes of the composite breads (Table 3). Factor  
3 analysis yielded 3 factors which explained 89.04% of data variation. Factor 1 explained the most variation in data  
4 (41.8%) and it was significantly loaded with parameters indicating changes in the crumb firmness (firmness,  
5 maximal force, % stress relaxation, staling degree and staling rate). Factor 2 correlated well with parameters  
6 associated with loaf volume explaining 22.6% variance of data. The third new factor explained 24.6% of the total  
7 variance and was significantly loaded with parameters related to crumb elastic properties such as resilience and stress  
8 relaxation coefficients ( $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ) but the correlation was negative. Negative loading of variable to factor is usually  
9 interpreted as an existence of opposition of variable to the factor. In this sense, it seems that the third factor is more  
10 related to plastic or viscous properties of the crumb than to elastic properties if it can be considered that plasticity is  
11 in opposite to elasticity. This finding indicates that in the spelt-amaranth bread, crumb plasticity or viscosity is a true  
12 indicator of quality changes. High loadings of coefficients  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  from the Peleg-Normand model and crumb  
13 resilience measured on texture analyzer showed that they essentially carry the same information. In general, it seems  
14 that quality alterations in the spelt-amaranth breads were mainly due to changes in the crumb firmness whereas  
15 changes in the crumb elasticity and differences in loaf volume contributed almost equally to the rest of the explained  
16 variance.

17 **Table 3.** Results of factorial analysis on the quality parameters of composite spelt-amaranth breads - varimax rotated  
18 loadings

Variable	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
Specific volume (ml/g)	-0.041356	<b>0.976012</b>	0.034579
Loaf volume (ml)	0.087542	<b>0.983452</b>	-0.106191
Volume yield (ml)	0.003937	<b>0.984076</b>	-0.009171
Drying loss (%)	0.650690	0.065676	0.623507
Firmness (g)	<b>0.896009*</b>	-0.064815	0.415799



Resilience (%)	-0.376713	0.191235	<b>-0.818521</b>
Crumbliness (%)	0.645003	0.370730	0.174224
k1	-0.138060	-0.087575	<b>-0.915151</b>
k2	-0.347691	0.046900	<b>-0.868936</b>
%SR (%)	<b>0.884981</b>	0.227922	0.273908
Fmax (g)	<b>0.933265</b>	-0.102479	0.111621
Staling degree (%)	<b>0.934968</b>	-0.100066	0.134867
Staling rate	<b>0.785345</b>	0.088157	0.540027
Explained variance	5.852785	3.165271	3.447404
Proportion of total variance (%)	41.81	22.61	24.62

1 \*Marked loadings are statistically significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

2

### 3 CONCLUSION

4 The addition of amaranth in different forms to spelt wheat affected the characteristics of the obtained composite  
5 breads. The formulations with steamed and non-steamed amaranth flour exhibited higher volume in comparison to  
6 the control. There was significant difference in the crumb firmness between the breads made with amaranth flour and  
7 grinded popped amaranth regardless whether steamed or not, but none of them were different from the control bread.  
8 There was no difference in the crumb resilience within the bread formulations but the stress relaxation parameters  
9 differed for the various spelt-amaranth breads, indicating changes in the crumb elastic properties.

10 During storage, the composite breads underwent changes such as increased crumb firmness and loss of crumb  
11 elasticity. Drying loss and staling degree significantly increased with increased storage time. There were no  
12 significant differences within different spelt-amaranth breads at the same period of staling, except the significantly  
13 higher drying loss in the breads with non-steamed amaranth after 6 days of storage. The highest staling rate was  
14 recorded for the bread made with the addition of amaranth flour whereas the lowest rate was recorded for the control  
15 bread and bread made with steamed popped amaranth flour. Significant changes in the stress relaxation parameters  
16 were also caused mainly by storage time (between the values assessed after one day and six days of storage).

1 Factor analysis showed that the main indicators of quality changes in the composite spelt-amaranth breads were  
2 those related to changes in the crumb firmness. Cluster analysis supported the observation that the composite breads  
3 formulated with different forms of amaranth grain underwent similar changes during storage i.e. showed similar  
4 behaviour during storage.

5 It can be concluded that, in the case of composite breads packed only in perforated cellophane, addition of different  
6 forms of amaranth flour did not delay nor accelerate the quality loss of stored breads due to staling. To fully  
7 recognize the potential of amaranth grains, further study is necessary which would involve the use of packaging  
8 materials with higher barrier properties and modified atmosphere packaging methods.

### 9 **Declaration of conflicting interests**

10 The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or  
11 publication of this article.

### 12 **Funding**

13 This study was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological  
14 Development of the Republic of Serbia, Grant No. 46005.

### 15 **REFERENCES**

16 AACC (2009). *AACC International Approved Methods*, 11<sup>th</sup> ed. St. Paul, Mn, USA: AACC International,  
17 Method 74-10.02 Measurement of bread firmness-compression test.

18 Alvarez-Jubete, L., Auty, M., Arendt, E. and Gallagher, E. (2010). Baking properties and microstructure of  
19 pseudocereal flours in gluten-free bread formulations. *European Food Research and Technology* 230: 437-445.

20 AOAC (2000). *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International*, 17<sup>th</sup> ed. Gaithersburg, MD, USA:  
21 Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Official Method 926.5.

22 Ayo, J.A. (2001). The effect of amaranth grain flour on the quality of bread. *International Journal of Food*  
23 *Properties* 4 (2): 341-351.

24 Bavec, F. and Bavec, M. (2006). *Organic production and use of alternative crops*. Boca Raton, London, New  
25 York : Taylor and Francis, CRC.

1 Bodroža-Solarov, M., Filipčev, B., Kevrešan, Ž., Mandić, A., and Šimurina, O. (2008). Quality of bread  
2 supplemented with popped *Amaranthus cruentus* grain. *Journal of Food Process Engineering* 31: 602-618.

3 Breshears, K.L. and Crowe, K.M. (2013). Sensory and textural evaluation of gluten-free bread substituted with  
4 amaranth and Montana™ flour. *Journal of Food Research* 2(4): 1-10.

5 Cauvain, S.P. (1998). Improving the control of staling in frozen bakery products. *Trends in Food Science and*  
6 *Technology* 9(2): 56-61.

7 Dapčević-Hadnađev, T., Dokić, Lj., Pojić, M., Hadnađev, M., Torbica, A. and Rakita, S. (2014). Rheological  
8 properties of dough and quality of bread supplemented with emulsifying polysaccharides. *Hem. Ind.* 68 (1): 99-  
9 106.

10 De La Barca, A.M.C., Rojas-Martínez, M.E., Islas-Rubio, A. and Cabrera-Chávez, F. (2010). Gluten-free breads  
11 and cookies of raw and popped amaranth flours with attractive technological and nutritional qualities. *Plant*  
12 *Foods for Human Nutrition* 65: 241-246.

13 Filipčev, B., Šimurina, O., Bodroža-Solarov, M. and Obreht, D. (2013). Comparison of the bread-making  
14 performance of spelt varieties grown under organic conditions in the environment of northern Serbia and their  
15 responses to dough strengthening improvers. *Hem. Ind.* 67: 443–453.

16 Filipović, N., Lazić, V., Filipović, J. and Simović-Šoronja, D. (2009). Influence of packaging material  
17 characteristics on the shelf-life and quality of mixed corn bread. *Food Processing, Quality and Safety* 3-4: 65-  
18 68.

19 Grobelnik Mlakar, S., Bavec, M., Turinek, M. and Bavec, F. (2009). Rheological properties of dough made from  
20 grain amaranth-cereal composite flours based on wheat and spelt. *Czech Journal of Food Science* 27: 309-319.

21 Grobelnik Mlakar, S., Turinek, M., Jakop, M., Bavec, M. And Bavec, F. (2009). Nutrition value and use of grain  
22 amaranth: potential future application in bread making. *Agricultura* 6: 43-53.

23 Grobelnik Mlakar, S., Turinek, M., Jakop, M., Bavec, M. and Bavec, F. (2008). Organically produced grain  
24 amaranth-spelt composite flours: II. Bread Quality. Proceedings of the 43<sup>rd</sup> Croatian and 3<sup>rd</sup> International  
25 Symposium on Agriculture, Opatija, Croatia, 92-95.

26 Kohajdová, Z. and Karovičová, J. (2008). Nutritional value and baking application od spelt wheat. *Acta*  
27 *Scientiarum Poloniarum, Technologia Alimentaria* 7(3): 5-14.

1 Lacko-Bartošová, M. and Korczyk-Szabó, J. (2012). Technological properties of spelt-amaranth composite  
2 flours. *Research Journal of Agricultural Science* 44(1): 90-93.

3 Martínez, M., Oliete, B., and Gómez, M. (2013). Effect of the addition of extruded wheat flours on dough  
4 rheology and bread quality. *Journal of Cereal Science* 57: 424-429.

5 Menegassi, B., Pilosof, A.M.R. and Arêas, J.A.G. (2011). Comparison of the properties of native and extruded  
6 amaranth (*Amaranthus cruentus* L.-BRS Alegria) flour. *LWT* 44: 1915-1921.

7 Oszvald, M., Tamás, C., Rakszegi, M., Tömösközi, S., Békés, F. and Tamás, L. (2009). Effect of incorporated  
8 amaranth albumins on the functional properties of wheat dough. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*  
9 89: 882-889.

10 Pongjaruvat, W., Methacanon, P., Seetapan, N., Fuongfuchat, A. and Gamonpilas, C. (2014). Influence of  
11 pregelatinized tapioca starch and transglutaminase on dough rheology and quality of gluten-free jasmine rice  
12 breads. *Food Hydrocolloids* 36: 143-150.

13 Sanz-Penella, J.M., Wronkowska, M., Soral-Smietana, M. and Haros, M. (2013). Effect of whole amaranth flour  
14 on bread properties and nutritive value. *LWT* 50: 679-685.

15 Sciarini, L.S., Ribotta, P.D., León, A.E. and Pérez, G.T. (2010). Influence of gluten-free flours and their  
16 mixtures on batter properties and bread quality. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* 3: 577-585.

17 Seibel, W. (2006). Composite flours. In: Popper, L. (ed), *Future of flour: A compendium of flour improvement*.  
18 Verlag Agrimedia pp. 193-198.

19 Singh, H., Rockall, A., Martin, C.R., Chung, O.K. and Lookhart, G.L. (2006). The analysis of stress relaxation  
20 data of some viscoelastic foods using a texture analyzer. *Journal of Texture Studies* 37: 383-392.

21 Smith, E., Benbrook, C. and Davis, D.R. (2012). A closer look at what's in our daily bread. In: *Grains: An in-*  
22 *depth study, Part I-Your Daily Bread*. The Organic Center pp. 1-17. Assessed on 16/10/2013 from www.  
23 organic-center.org.

24 Tsai, C-L., Sugiyama, J., Shibata, M., Kokawa, M., Fujita, K., Tsuta, M., Nabetani, H. and Araki, T. (2012).  
25 Changes in the texture and viscoelastic properties of bread containing rice porridge during storage. *Bioscience*  
26 *Biotechnology and Biochemistry* 76 (2): 331-335.

- 1 Zapotoczny, P., Markowski, M., Majewska, K., Ratajski, A. and Konopko, H. (2006). Effect of temperature on
- 2 the physical, functional, and mechanical characteristics of hot-air-puffed amaranth seeds. *Journal of Food*
- 3 *Engineering* 76: 469-476.
- 4 Wu, M-Y., Chang, Y-H., Shiau, S-Y., and Chen, C-C. (2012). Rheology of fiber-enriched steamed bread: stress
- 5 relaxation and texture profile analysis. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis* 20: 133-142.