WILEY

DOI: 10.1002/ffj.3684

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Biological activity and profiling of *Salvia sclarea* essential oil obtained by steam and hydrodistillation extraction methods via chemometrics tools

Milica G. Aćimović¹ | Mirjana T. Cvetković² | Jovana M. Stanković Jeremić² | Lato L. Pezo³ | Ana O. Varga⁴ | Ivana S. Čabarkapa⁴ | Biljana Kiprovski¹

¹Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

²Department of Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

³Institute of General and Physical Chemistry, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

⁴Institute of Food Technology, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

Correspondence

Milica G. Aćimović, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops Novi Sad, Maksima Gorkog 30, Novi Sad 21000, Serbia. Email: milica.acimovic@ifvcns.ns.ac.rs

Funding information

Ministarstvo Prosvete, Nauke i Tehnološkog Razvoja, Grant/Award Number: 451-03-68/2020-14/200032

Abstract

Salvia sclarea L. or clary sage is cultivated worldwide in temperate and sub-tropical climates, as an ornamental and essential oil (EO) bearing plant. EO is obtained from fresh spikes in full flowering stage and is recognized as an important commercial product for food, beverage and cosmetic industries. This study investigated the EO composition of S. sclarea grown in Serbia (Southeast Europe) obtained by two different methods, steam (SD) and hydrodistillation (HD). GC-MS analysis identified oxygenated monoterpenes as the main class of compounds for all EOs (between 81.8% and 88.2% depending on the distillation process). The most abundant oxygenated monoterpenes were linalyl acetate and linalool. In addition, in vitro antimicrobial (modified resazurin microtitre-plate assay) and antioxidant activities (DPPH' assay) and total polyphenol content of obtained EOs were also evaluated. According to the assay used for the evaluation of the antibacterial activity, Gram-negative bacteria were more sensitive to S. sclarea EO in comparison to Gram-positive bacteria. EOs exhibited low antioxidant capacity, below 3% neutralized DPPH' radicals, reaching up to approximately 400 μ g AAE mL⁻¹. This study also investigated a possibility for predicting retention indices (RIs) of compounds isolated from EOs. In total, 78 experimentally obtained RIs were applied to construct the prediction model. The quantitative structure-chromatographic retention relationship (QSRR) model was used to anticipate the experimentally obtained RIs. Five molecular descriptors were selected by factor analysis and genetic algorithm to predict RIs. The obtained accuracy of the QSRR model reached r^2 = .912, which showed that these models might be applied for predicting retention indices.

KEYWORDS

antibacterial activity, antioxidant activity, artificial neural network, clary sage, quantitative structure-chromatographic retention relationship

1 | INTRODUCTION

Salvia sclarea L., also known as clary sage, belongs to the Lamiaceae family and is native to Southern Europe and is cultivated worldwide

in temperate and sub-tropical climates, as an ornamental and essential oil (EO) bearing plant. The plant reproduces from the brown, round to triangular seeds. It is usually a biennial or a perennial plant, with a thick, square, erect stem, 20-120 cm high, branched towards the top. Some plants bloom in the first year if sown in early spring. Annual leaves are arranged in a rosette, while biennials are arranged along the stem in pairs. Simple and multicellular glandular trichomes are present on both sides of the leaf. The plant reaches a height of up to 130 cm, with flowering spikes averaging up to 40 cm. The cymose inflorescence of *S. sclarea* represents an assemblage of lilac to whitish axillary flowers in clusters subtended by bracts.¹⁻³ In the agro-ecological conditions of SE Europe (Serbia, Hungary), *S. sclarea* is often harvested twice per year. The first harvest is usually performed during June or July and the second one in September. However, the chemical composition of the obtained EO is significantly different. In the first harvest, a high content of linalyl acetate, 1,8-cineole and myrcene content decreases while α - and β -pinene disappears. Consequently, the scent of the oil is affected.⁴

Salvia sclarea EO is obtained from fresh spikes in full flowering stage, and the content ranges from 0.01%, v/w (plants regenerated in vitro)⁵ to 0.83% (v/w). This depends on the distillation method (traditional or advanced)⁶⁻⁸ and analysis technique,⁹ origin or population and growing conditions,¹⁰⁻¹² plant development phase (full blooming, phase of growing fruit and full maturity of the seeds),³ or sample amount, particle size, extraction time and temperature.¹³ S. sclarea EO is an important commercial oil, characterized as a colourless, brownish-yellow or pale yellow liquid with a characteristic odour.¹⁴ It originates from linalyl acetate content and is usually described as sweet, green, floral and spicy with clean, woody, terry and citrus nuances.¹⁵ In general, the second most abundant compound in EO is linalool, which is characterized by a floral odour. The most valuable commercial S. sclarea EO is linalool/linalyl acetate chemotype.¹⁶ Other significant volatile compounds are geranyl acetate, α -terpineol and sclareol.¹⁷ However, in fragranced cosmetic products, some of these compounds with low allergenic potency turn into stronger allergens after autoxidation. These compounds such as linalool, linalyl acetate and geraniol cause contact allergy and dermatitis.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Therefore, it is very important to keep and store EO without air exposure.

Salvia sclarea EO is used as aromatic agent in the food industry,²¹ especially in condiments, frozen desserts, puddings, gelatins, pastries and alcoholic beverages. Apart from flavouring food, *S. sclarea* EO can also be used for preventing food spoilage due to its antimicrobial properties.²² Furthermore, sclareol is a highly valuable compound in the fragrance industry.^{23,24} Due to its characteristics, it is considered to be an important starting material for a number of commercial substances and a replacement for ambergris used in the formulation of exclusive perfumes. Most of the commercially produced sclareol is derived from cultivated *S. sclarea.*²⁵

Salvia sclarea is commercially cultivated on a large scale in Europe, especially in Bulgaria and France, through Russia and Morocco.^{2,21} It is widely used in perfume industry and aromatherapy against stress, tension, depression and insomnia.²⁶ Traditionally, *S. sclarea* EO was used as an agent against inflammatory conditions of oral cavity such as gingivitis, stomatitis and aphthae.²⁷ Apart from this, recent studies reported anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and analgesic, as well as antidiabetic and cytotoxic effects.² In addition to biological

activities, *S. sclarea* is one of the most economically important plants for phytoextraction and phytostabilization of zinc and cadmium contaminated soils,^{28,29} and because of this, there is growing interest in cultivation of this plant.

The extraction of EOs is generally carried out by hydro or steam distillation processes, nonetheless, there is a number of novel techniques such as solvent extraction, supercritical CO_2 , microwave-assisted extraction, vacuum extraction and other.^{7,8,30,31} These techniques are developed because heat inevitably causes thermal degradation of the natural fragrance, because several EO components may re-arranged when exposed to heat and several artefacts could be produced.^{13,32,33}

One of the most important steps in postharvest procedures in *S. sclarea* production is immediate distillation which has to be performed immediately after the harvest due to the loss of some volatiles by evaporation.^{34,35} Apart from this, the developmental stage of the plant at harvest time is very important for EO content, as well as distillation kinetic.³⁶ If distillation time increases, it causes partial hydrolysis of linally acetate followed by a partial acid catalysed degradation of linalool resulting in an increase in myrcene content, as well as *cis*- and *trans-* β -ocimene, limonene, terpinolene, α -terpineol, geraniol, neryl acetate and geranyl acetate.³⁷

Quantitative structure-chromatographic retention relationship (QSRR) depicts the chemical structure according to the molecular descriptors (MDs).^{38,39} Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) data are broadly used in previous QSRR models.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴

The main goal of this investigation was to determine the difference in EO quality depending on the distillation conditions (a commercial distillation unit with steam and a laboratory with Clevenger apparatus) of *S. sclarea*. Furthermore, chemical compounds found in *S. sclarea* EO using the GC-MS technique were the main focus in establishing the new QSRR model for anticipating the retention indices (RIs), applying factor analysis and genetic algorithm (GA) for MDs selection. Also, the artificial neural network (ANN) model was enforced in this investigation.^{45,46}

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant material

Domestic fragrant variety of *S. sclarea* called "Domaća mirisna" (voucher number 2-1560, Herbarium BUNS) was commercially cultivated at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops Novi Sad, at the Department of Alternative Crops and Organic Agriculture Bački Petrovac (45°21'N; 19°35'E). *S. sclarea* was sown in spring 2018, in continuous rows with row spacing of 70 cm. Only mechanical weeding and digging was performed during vegetation period in all 3 years. In the first year, *S. sclarea* was in vegetative stage, followed by generative (blossom) stage in the second year (2019) when plants were harvested, between 25 June and 1 July during 2019. During full blossom stage, the upper 50-60 cm of the plant with inflorescence was picked early in the morning. The fresh material was immediately distilled.

2.2 | Steam distillation

The steam distillation (SD) was performed in a small scale distillation unit at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops Novi Sad. The fresh upper parts with flowers of S. sclarea (100 kg) were placed in a stainless steel distillation vessel (volume 0.8 m³) constructed by the Inox Ltd., Bački Petrovac, Serbia. Steam was supplied through a manifold pipe into the bottom of the vessel from a high-pressure boiler (Vaporax, Ventilator Ltd.) and routed upwards through a plumbing system to the vessel with plant material being extracted. The steam, water vapour and entrained volatiles exited the tank near the top via a 10 cm diameter pipe and were carried to a water-cooled condenser that is mounted vertically, it acts as a pipe heat exchanger (the distillate flows through a pipe system and is immersed into a cooling fluidwater in with the re-circulation flow rate of $2.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$). Heat exchange surface in the condensator (10.8 m^2) was chosen so that only the latent heat of evaporation of the distillate was subtracted. Cooler was horizontal, one pipe held concentrically inside of a larger pipe (heat exchange surface of 4.3 m²). The inner pipe acts as the conductive barrier, where one fluid flows through this inner pipe while the cooling fluid flows around it through the outer pipe (0.6 m³ h⁻¹), forming an annulus shape. The oil and water condensate was separated in a glass florentine flask (1 m height, 20 cm diameter) which enables efficient separation of the compounds into EO and water (hydrolate).

2.3 | Hydrodistillation

Hydrodistillation (HD) was performed in laboratory using a Clevenger-type apparatus. Fresh plant material (100 g) was placed in 1 L conical flask and connected to the Clevenger apparatus. Distilled water (approx. 500 mL) was added to the flask and heated to the boiling point. The vapour phase was collected into a graduated cylinder. After 3 hours, EO was separated from aqueous layer, according to the method outlined by the European Pharmacopoeia.¹⁰

2.4 | Essential oil analysis

Obtained EOs used for GC/FID, and GC-MS analysis was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored at 4-6°C. Analysis was carried out with an Agilent 7890A apparatus equipped with an 5975 C MSD, FID and a HP-5MS fused-silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 μ m). The carrier gas was helium, and its inlet pressure was 19.6 psi and linear velocity of 1 mL min⁻¹ at 210°C. The injector temperature was 250°C, injection volume was 1 μ L, split ratio, 10:1. MS detection was carried out under source temperature conditions of 230°C and interface temperature of 315°C. The EI mode set at electron energy, 70 eV with mass scan range of 40-600 amu. Temperature was programmed from 60°C to 300°C at a rate of 3°C min⁻¹. The components were identified based on their linear retention index relative to C_8 - C_{32} n-alkanes, in comparison with data reported in the literature (Adams4 and NIST17 databases). The relative percentage of the oil constituents was expressed as percentages by FID peak area normalization.

2.5 | Antimicrobial activity

Antimicrobial activity of the tested EOs was evaluated using laboratory control bacterial strains obtained from the American Type Culture Collection: Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 8739) and *Salmonella enteritidis* (ATCC 13076) and Gram-positive *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 11778), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212). Antimicrobial activity of *S. sclarea* EO was determined according to the CLSI with slight modifications in determination of end point.^{47,48}

2.6 | Total polyphenolics content and antioxidant activity

Total polyphenols content (TPC) was determined using modified Folin-Ciocalteu's method described by Makkar.⁴⁹ Diluted (EO:MeOH = 0.1:4.9; v/v) EO (200 μ L) was added to a mixture of distilled water (5 mL), Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent (500 μ L, diluted with distilled water 1:2, v/v) and after 1 minute, 1 mL of sodium carbonate (20%) was added and tubes were covered with parafilm and left in a dark place for an hour. After incubation, absorption was measured spectrophotometrically (Perkin Elmer, UV/VIS Lambda Bio 20) at λ = 765 nm. Results were calculated from gallic acid calibration curve and expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in mL of EO.

Antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH' test as ability of diluted EO to neutralize 1.1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH') free radicals.⁵⁰

The working solution was produced by diluting stock DPPH' solution with methanol (24 mg DPPH' in 100 mL MeOH) to obtain an absorbance of about 0.998 (\pm 0.002) at 517 nm. A 100 µL of varying concentrations of EO (25-250 µg mL⁻¹) diluted in MeOH were added to a 3 mL DPPH' solution and after incubation in the dark (30 minutes), at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. Results of DPPH' radical scavenging activity (DPPH' test) were expressed as % inhibition and ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE) in mL EO, based on calculations from ascorbic acid standard curve performed in the same manner.

2.7 | QSRR analysis

The molecular structures data were introduced using .smi files, obtained from PubChem database. The investigation of MDs was done by exploring the PaDel-descriptor database.⁵¹ The selection of the MDs for RIs anticipation was performed using factor analysis and ${\rm GA}^{\rm 52,53}$ using Heuristic Lab software. Statistica 10 software was used for statistical analysis of the data.

2.8 | Artificial neural network

Multi-layer perceptron (MLP) was used for the construction of the ANN model for prediction of RIs for compounds found in *S. sclarea* EOs identified using GC-MS data.⁵⁴ Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm was used to speed up the calculation of weight coefficients of the ANN.²¹ The observed data were randomly separated to 60%, 20% and 20% of data used for training, testing and validations, respectively.^{55,56}

2.9 | Global sensitivity analysis

Yoon's global sensitivity equation was utilized to calculate the relative impact of the chosen MDs on RIs. $^{\rm 57}$

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Chemical composition of EOs

Totals of 39 and 40 compounds were characterized, corresponding to 95.3% of the total for EO obtained by SD and 97.5% of the total for EO obtained by HD (Table 1). Oxygenated monoterpenes were identified as the major class of compounds for all EOs (81.1% and 88.2% depending on the distillation technique). The most abundant among the oxygenated monoterpenes were linally acetate (with 40.3% and 43.6% in EO obtained by SD and HD, respectively) and linalool (with 28.3% and 25.3% obtained by SD and HD, respectively), followed by α -terpineol and geranyl acetate.

Monoterpene hydrocarbons were present in the amounts of 0.5% and 3.1% (in the oil obtained by SD and by HD, respectively), while sesquiterpene hydrocarbons were present with 0.8% and 9.1% in EOs obtained by SD and HD, respectively. These two classes of compounds (monoterpene and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons) were the most abundant in EO obtained by HD. Oxygenated sesquiterpenes were also the most abundant in SD (1.7%) in comparison with HD (1.4%), as well as oxygenated diterpenes (3.2% and 1.6% in EOs obtained by SD and HD, respectively). Monoterpenes are also predominant in comparison to sesquiterpenes in the EOs of Salvia leriifolia and S. multicaulis flowers.⁵⁸ In case of S. mirzayanii, it is established that the flower and leaf mainly contain monoterpene hydrocarbons, while the stem mostly contains oxygenated monoterpenes. Additionally, a larger sample amount can cause some changes in the chemical composition of volatile compounds.¹³ In our study, a larger amount of plant material in SD sample could have caused these differences.

According to cluster analysis based on chemical compositions of 39 samples of *S. sclarea* EO from literature, it is concluded that most of the samples belong to the chemotype rich in linalyl acetate and linalool.^{59,60} Linalyl acetate content increases from full blossom through seed formation and is highest during full seed maturity, while linalool content decreases.³

Similarly to *S. sclarea*, linalyl acetate and linalool are the quality determining constituents of lavender EO. However, investigations showed higher amounts of linalyl acetate in the EO produced by HD (30.0%) than by SD method (35.28%).⁶¹ These differences could be attributed to the degradation of linalyl acetate (when in contact with water) into linalool.⁶² The main reason for the change of linalool:linalyl acetate ratio in case of *S. sclarea* are most probably enzymatic and acidic degradation reactions which are occur during crushing of fresh plants before extraction.⁶³ In addition, it is reported that linalyl acetate changes into linalool by thermal hydrolysis during steam distillation,⁶⁴ as well as that linalool:linalyl acetate ratio may change in distillation times and flowering phenophase.⁶⁵

The current experimental findings reveal that laboratory obtained EO by HD using Clevenger apparatus produced better quality EO in terms of higher linalyl acetate content than the SD method in industrial conditions. In addition, other techniques such as watersteam distillation provide the highest content of linalyl acetate.⁶¹ However, it is well known that *S. sclarea* EO is mainly obtained by SD on commercial scale. Vegetal waste material after processing of *S. sclarea* could be converted into "green" bioactive particles with high biomedical value,⁶⁶ as well as into hydrolate, which as byproduct during SD also has commercial value on the market.^{67,68}

3.2 | Antibacterial activity

According to the assay, Gram-negative bacteria were more sensitive to the EO of *S. sclarea* than Gram-positive bacteria (Table 2). Distillation method did not affect *S. sclarea* EO antimicrobial activity. Antimicrobial activity of *S. sclarea* EOs obtained by SD and HD showed the highest effectiveness against Gram-negative bacteria: *E. coli* (MIC/MBC = 28.40/28.40 μ L mL⁻¹) and *S. enteritidis* (MIC/ MBC = 3.55/3.55 μ L mL⁻¹). EO obtained by SD was slightly less effective against *E. coli* (MIC/MBC = 14.20/28.40 μ L mL⁻¹) and *S. enteritidis* (MIC/MBC = 56.81/113.63 μ L mL⁻¹). Tested EOs exhibited lower effectiveness against Gram-positive bacteria. Results of antimicrobial activity of *S. sclarea* EO (SD) against *B. cereus*, *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* indicated equal MIC and MBC (>454.50 μ L mL⁻¹). EO obtained by HD exhibited slightly higher effectiveness against Grampositive bacteria.

In addition, investigations by Kuzma et al^5 showed that *E. coli* was the most sensitive bacteria to *S. sclarea* essential oil (MIC = 2.5 mg mL⁻¹), followed by *S. epidermidis* (MIC = 5.0 mg mL⁻¹). Both of these bacteria are Gram negative. These findings are in agreement with a study conducted by Cui et al^{22} within which bactericidal effectiveness of *S. sclarea* EO against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria was investigated. Based on scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis as well as measurements of cellular ATP concentration and DNA after treatment with EO, it was concluded

TABLE	1 Chemical composition and prediction	retention indices (F	RI _{pred}) of Salvi	ia sclarea EOs obi	tained by	different I	nethods				
No	Compound	Cycle	RI _{pred} .	RI	SD	ДH	ATSC4s	AATSC1v	MATS2s	GATS6v	VE2_Dze
1	myrcene ^{MT}	Train	970.1	992	0.2	1.4	-1.664	-3.410	0.088	0.677	0.002
2	limonene ^{MT}	Train	875.8	1029	0.3	0.4	-0.875	0.000	0.091	0.580	0.004
с	Z- eta -ocimene ^{MT}	Train	1176.5	1036	pu	0.5	-0.837	-3.410	0.116	0.825	0.005
4	E-β-ocimene ^{MT}	Train	1176.5	1047	pu	0.8	-0.837	-3.410	0.116	0.825	0.005
5	Z-linalool oxide (furanoid) ^{OMT}	Train	1162.6	1073	1.0	pu	-12.718	-0.740	0.131	1.113	0.004
6	E-linalool oxide (furanoid) ^{OMT}	Train	1162.6	1090	1.0	0.2	-12.718	-0.740	0.131	1.113	0.004
7	linalool ^{OMT}	Train	1052.9	1103	28.6	25.3	-5.013	-2.083	0.140	0.735	0.008
8	4-(acetyloxy)-4-methyl-5-Hexenal ^O	Train	1149.8	1161	0.1	pu	-9.368	-5.123	0.115	0.747	0.017
6	Z-linalool oxide (pyranoid) ^{OMT}	Train	1162.6	1168	0.1	pu	-12.718	-0.740	0.131	1.113	0.004
10	E-linalool oxide (pyranoid) ^{OMT}	Train	1117.1	1173	0.1	pu	-13.397	-3.044	0.237	0.797	0.003
11	<i>p</i> -cymen-8-ol ^O	Test	1160.1	1184	0.1	pu	-0.253	1.495	0.183	0.769	0.006
12	<i>a</i> -terpineol^{OMT}	Train	1185.0	1190	8.4	5.0	-1.226	1.111	0.145	0.592	0.001
13	linalool formate ^{OMT}	Validation	969.6	1214	0.3	0.1	2.963	-4.533	0.154	0.706	0.014
14	2-oxabicyclo[2.2.2]octan-6-ol ^O	Train	1244.7	1221	0.1	pu	-4.667	2.921	-0.080	0.000	0.003
15	nerol ^{OMT}	Train	1235.7	1227	0.6	1.1	5.925	-2.083	-0.005	0.684	0.003
16	neralomT	Train	1234.0	1240	0.3	pu	7.180	-3.191	0.030	0.711	0.006
17	linalyl acetate ^{OMT}	Test	1189.4	1257	40.3	43.6	4.609	-4.180	0.261	0.741	0.015
18	geranial ^{OMT}	Train	1286.2	1269	0.8	pu	7.180	-3.191	0.030	0.711	0.006
19	nerylformate ^{oMT}	Train	1189.4	1281	0.1	pu	14.037	-0.528	0.196	0.987	0.002
20	cyclohexene. 3-acetoxy-4-(1-hydroxy-1- methylethyl)-1-methyl ^O	Train	1294.1	1282	0.2	pu	4.257	-4.533	0.118	0.900	0.004
21	geranyl formate ^{OMT}	Train	1325.7	1303	0.1	pu	-0.806	0.000	0.085	0.858	0.007
22	NI-1	I	I	1303	0.2	pu	I	I	I	I	I
23	NI-2	I	Ι	1339	0.4	pu	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I
24	NI-3	I	Ι	1340	0.1	pu	I	I	Ι	I	I
25	$lpha$ -terpinyl acetate OMT	Validation	1158.7	1349	0.1	pu	-0.578	4.159	0.065	1.102	0.009
26	lpha-cubebene sT	Train	1364.2	1349	pu	0.4	3.205	-4.180	0.231	0.845	0.005
27	NI-4 ^a	I	I	1351	1.1	0.1	I	I	I	I	I
28	NI-5 ^a	I	I	1354	1.0	0.1	I	I	I	I	I
29	neryl acetate ^{OMT}	Train	1293.5	1364	2.1	2.2	-0.002	4.159	0.102	0.777	0.013
30	α -copaene st	Train	1430.9	1375	0.4	1.1	3.205	-4.180	0.231	0.845	0.005
31	geranyl acetate ^{OMT}	Test	1293.5	1383	4.0	4.3	-0.578	4.159	0.065	1.102	0.009
32	eta -cubebene ST	Test	1364.2	1389	pu	0.1	-2.676	0.000	0.082	0.742	0.008
											(Continues)

AĆIMOVIĆ ET AL.

10991026, 2022, 1, Downloaded from https://onlinelibary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ffj.3684 by University of Nish. Wiley Online Library on [13/07/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

IMC	OVIC	É et /	AL.																											-\	NI	LE	EY	25
	VE2_Dze	0.024	I	0.001	0.008	0.012	0.004	0.008	0.001	0.008	I	0.003	0.008	I	0.008	0.004	0.008	I	0.004	I	0.012	0.008	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.009	I	0.009	0.003	I	I	I	I	I
	GATS6V	0.954	Ι	0.944	0.918	0.784	1.065	1.079	0.748	0.915	Ι	0.934	0.650	Ι	0.852	1.111	0.970	Ι	0.789	Ι	0.980	0.970	1.199	0.942	1.134	0.913	I	0.668	0.597	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I
	MAT 52s	-0.071	I	0.116	0.081	0.065	0.087	0.141	0.080	0.056	I	0.103	0.086	I	0.107	0.158	0.086	I	0.101	Ι	0.127	0.086	0.095	0.152	0.187	0.095	I	0.148	0.328	Ι	I	Ι	I	I
	AATSC1V	0.000	I	2.131	1.260	4.159	4.159	2.131	-2.244	2.131	Ι	0.000	2.131	I	2.131	2.131	2.131	Ι	-2.244	I	2.131	2.131	4.804	2.708	0.732	2.828	I	2.828	2.325	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I
10000	ATSC4s	-5.197	Ι	-0.221	-18.169	0.253	-1.188	-3.055	-1.337	-0.185	Ι	-1.672	-2.350	Ι	-5.484	-0.586	0.628	I	-0.800	Ι	0.222	0.628	-5.071	-2.343	-0.311	-1.592	I	-4.833	2.558	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I
4	П	0.1	pu	pu	1.9	pu	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	pu	0.9	1.9	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	pu	0.1	0.4	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
40	SD	pu	0.1	0.1	pu	0.3	0.1	pu	pu	pu	0.1	pu	0.1	0.1	0.1	pu	pu	pu	0.1	pu	0.1	pu	pu	0.4	0.8	pu	pu	pu	0.4	0.1	pu	pu	pu	0.2
i	RI	1391	1397	1401	1419	1424	1429	1439	1453	1457	1467	1477	1480	1487	1488	1495	1496	1500	1501	1509	1514	1524	1538	1577	1582	1611	1638	1641	1650	1654	1668	1676	1682	1706
i	RI _{pred} .	1218.8	I	1434.8	1464.5	1306.0	1403.7	1366.6	1407.1	1065.6	I	1550.3	1315.3	I	1467.3	1646.1	1500.7	I	1428.6	I	1571.5	1495.4	1428.6	1778.7	1570.9	1413.3	I	1658.9	1634.7	I	I	I	I	I
	Cycle	Test	I	Train	Train	Train	Train	Train	Test	Validation	I	Train	Train	Ι	Train	Validation	Train	I	Validation	I	Train	Train	Validation	Test	Train	Test	I	Train	Train	I	I	I	I	I
-	Compound	eta-elemene ^{s T}	NI-6	benzenebutanal ^o	E-caryophyllene sT	carvone hydrate ^{OMT}	eta-copaene ST	aromadendrene sT	α -humulene ST	E-β-farnesene ST	NI-7	γ -muurolene $^{ m ST}$	germacrene D sT	NI-8	eta-selinene ST	valencene ST	bicyclogermacrene ^{s T}	NI-9	α -muurolene ST	NI-10	Z-dihydroagarofuran ^{OST}	δ-cadinene sT	lpha-cadinene ST	spathulenol ^{OST}	caryophyllene oxide ^{OST}	humulene epoxide Il ^{OST}	NI-11	<i>epi-α</i> -cadinol (=tau-cadinol) ^{OST}	β -eudesmol ^{OST}	NI-12	NI-13	NI-14	NI-15	NI-16
:	°N N	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65

TABLE 1 (Continued)

TABLE	1 (Continued)										
No	Compound	Cycle	RI _{pred} .	RI	SD	ЦЦ	ATSC4s	AATSC1v	MATS2s	GATS6v	VE2_Dze
66	NI-17	I	Ι	1786	0.1	pu	Ι	I	I	Ι	I
67	NI-18	Ι	Ι	1837	0.2	0.1	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι
68	sclareoloxide ^O	Train	1899.6	1884	0.1	0.1	-0.843	0.000	0.225	0.955	0.015
69	NI-19	Ι	Ι	1920	pu	0.1	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι
70	NI-20	I	Ι	1920	pu	0.1	Ι	I	I	Ι	I
71	NI-21	I	Ι	1941	0.1	0.3	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι
72	geranyl- <i>p</i> -cymene ^O	Train	1587.2	1955	0.2	0.4	-0.677	2.122	0.274	0.655	0.001
73	manool oxide ^{OD}	Validation	2129.1	1991	0.2	0.1	-6.496	2.161	0.134	0.656	0.011
74	13- <i>ep</i> i-manool oxide ^{OD}	Train	2008.0	2014	0.1	pu	-0.933	0.850	0.315	0.835	0.021
75	13-epi-manool ^{OD}	Train	2050.0	2061	0.3	0.1	-0.817	1.932	0.424	0.715	0.019
76	NI-22	Ι	Ι	2071	0.1	pu	I	I	I	I	I
77	NI-23	Ι	I	2095	0.1	pu	I	I	I	I	Ι
78	sclareol ^{oD}	Train	2220.9	2232	2.6	1.4	-5.826	2.321	0.254	0.726	0.020
	Monoterpene hydrocarbons (MT)				0.5	3.1					
	Oxygenated monoterpenes (OMT)				88.2	81.8					
	Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SH)				0.8	9.1					
	Oxygenated sesquiterpenes (OST)				1.7	1.4					
	Oxygenated diterpenes (OD)				3.2	1.6					
	Other (O)				0.9	0.5					
	Z				4.0	2.2					
	Total identified				95.3	97.5					
Abbrevia MATS2s, matrix.	tions: AATSC1v, average centred Broto-Mor Moran autocorrelation—lag 2; nd, not detec	eau autocorrelation ted; NI, not identifi	—lag 1; ATSC [,] ed compounds	4s, centred Bro ;; Rl, retention	to-Moreau a index; SD, stu	utocorrelat eam distilla	ion—lag 4; GA ⁻ tion; VE2_Dze	TSóv, Geary auto , average coeffici	correlation—lag ent sum of the la	6; HD, hydrodis ast eigenvector	tillation; from Barysz

Bolded compounds are presented in essential oils with more than 3.0%.

^a Mass spectrometric fragmentation of not identified compound (1.0% and higher) *m/z* (relative intensity): NI-4:94(24), 81(33), 79(56), 71(34), 68(26), 67(26), 59(20), 55(21), 43(100), 41(26), NI-5:94(23), 81(33), 79(58), 71(37), 68(26), 67(26), 59(21), 55(19), 43(100), 41(26), NI-5:94(23), 81(33), 79(58), 71(37), 68(26), 67(26), 59(21), 55(19), 43(100), 41(27).

TABLE 2Antibacterial activity of Salviasclarea EOs obtained by different methods

	SD		HD	
Bacterial strain	MIC (μL mL ^{−1})	MBC (μL mL^{−1})	MIC (μL mL ^{−1})	MBC (μL mL ^{−1})
Escherichia coli	14.20	28.40	28.40	28.40
Bacillus cereus	>454.50	>454.50	7.10	7.10
Salmonella enteritidis	56.81	113.63	3.55	3.55
Staphylococcus aureus	>454.50	>454.50	14.20	14.20
Enterococcus faecalis	454.50	454.5	56.81	56.81

Abbreviations: HD, hydrodistillation; MBC, minimal bactericidal concertation; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; SD, steam distillation.

that *S. sclarea* EO damages the cell membrane and changes the cell membrane permeability, leading to the release of the material inside the cell such as ATP and DNA. The antimicrobial activity of *S. sclarea* can be attributed to the significant amounts of linalyl acetate, linalool and geranyl acetate. Thus, it may be assumed that these components play a crucial role in the antimicrobial activity of the tested EOs. Obtained results of the chemical composition (Table 1) and exhibition of different antibacterial activity towards tested bacteria (Table 2) could be explained by the synergistic or additive effects caused by minor components in the EO, which was previously confirmed in other researches.^{5,69}

Additionally, the results for antibacterial activities of *S. sclarea* EO showed that *E. coli, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Kocuria marina* and *B. cereus* are sensible bacterial strains.⁶⁸ Furthermore, *S. sclarea* caused a dose-dependent inhibition of mycelial growth.¹⁷ It is possible that applying higher doses of *S. sclarea* essential oil could be effective against other bacteria.

3.3 | Total polyphenolics and antioxidant activity

According to TPC, EOs show slight difference between methods used for distillation conditions (Table 3). As for DPPH' test, EOs had low antioxidant capacity, below 3% neutralized DPPH' radicals, reaching up to approx. 400 μ g AAE mL⁻¹. Obtained results are much lower than for some other commonly used EOs: thyme, oregano, clove, sage and rosemary (62.8%, 51.8%, 97.8%, 51.2%, 47.5% neutralized DPPH' radicals, respectively).⁷⁰ However,

TABLE 3 Total polyphenolics content and antioxidant activity (DPPH⁻-test) of *Salvia sclarea* EOs obtained by different methods

	SD	HD
Total polyphenolics		
mg GAE mL ^{−1}	2.83	2.41
DPPH [·] test		
%	0.72	1.87
µg AAE mL ^{−1}	414.20	426.32

Abbreviations: HD, hydrodistillation; SD, steam distillation.

research shows that methanol, chloroform and acetone extract of *S. sclarea* are effective antioxidant,⁷¹⁻⁷³ in comparison to essential oil.⁷⁴

In a study by Ovidi et al,⁶⁸ *S. sclarea* EO with a high content of linalyl acetate (62.6%) displayed good antioxidant activity. Furthermore, it is known that linalyl acetate can reduce oxidative changes.⁷⁵ In addition, some species from genus *Salvia* such as *S. limbata* and *S. bracteata* have good antioxidant effects.⁷⁷⁶ It is clear that EO compounds act synergistically, antagonistically and additively.⁷⁷

3.4 | QSRR models

Retention indices (as dependent variables) are calculated by QSRR model using the independent variable matrix of molecular descriptors.⁴³ PaDel-descriptor software was used for evaluation of MDs. A large set of MDs was determined, and only the most significant descriptors were chosen to build the predictive RIs model. The factor analysis was used to exclude the descriptors with practically equivalent correlations, and the uncorrelated MDs were used in the GA calculation. As a result of this preliminary consideration only cca. 150 descriptors remained for GA calculation. GA was applied to choose between MDs, for the most appropriate variables for RIs prediction.^{56,78,79} Five most important molecular descriptors were chosen; four 2D Autocorrelation descriptors (ATSC4s, AATSC1v, MATS2s, GATS6v) which explain how the considered property is distributed along the topological structure, and one Barysz matrix descriptor (VE2_Dze) which was calculated by using weighted molecular graphs, and the weighting scheme based on the atomic weight Z and polarizability.^{80,81} The predicted RIs and MDs are presented in Table 1. The anticipated RIs are displayed in Figure 1 confirming the sufficient expectation abilities of the developed ANN, by demonstrating the connection between the anticipated and experimentally gained retention values.

Based on Pearson's correlation analysis, there was a rather poor correlation between all molecular descriptors (Table 4). Subsequently, the used MDs were appropriate to foresee the RIs of compounds in *S. sclarea* by applying the multivariate ANN model.⁸²



FIGURE 1 Retention indices (RI^a) of the *Salvia sclarea* EOs composition from experimentally obtained GC-MS data and predicted by the ANN (RI_{pred}.)

TABLE 4 The correlation coefficient matrix for the five selected descriptors by GA

	AATSC1v	MATS2s	GATS6v	VE2 Dze
ATSC4s	185	.114	124	074
	P = .142	P = .373	P = .331	P = .560
AATSC1v		159	.163	.007
		P = .214	P = .205	P = .960
MATS2s			.124	188
			P = .339	P = .143
GATS6v				.025
				P = .841

Abbreviations: AATSC1v, average centred Broto-Moreau autocorrelation—lag 1; ATSC4s, centred Broto-Moreau

autocorrelation—lag 4; GATS6v, Geary autocorrelation—lag 6; MATS2s, Moran autocorrelation—lag 2; VE2_Dze, average coefficient sum of the last eigenvector from Barysz matrix.

Definite clarifications about the descriptors were found in the Handbook of Molecular Descriptors.^{80,81} These descriptors encode various points of the molecular structure and were applied to build the QSRR model. Table 6 represents the correlation matrix among these descriptors.

3.5 | Artificial neural network

To investigate the relationship between MDs selected by factor analysis and GA, ANN model was used, as one of the most commonly used mathematical tool in agriculture research.⁸³ The MLP 6-5-1 neural network was constructed to foresee the retention time of compounds isolated from *S. sclarea*. The coefficient of determination (r^2) during training was .912, showing the good predicting abilities of the model for predicting RIs. The statistical results of this network were displayed in Table 5.

	Performar	JCe		Error						
Net. name	Train.	Test.	Valid.	Train.	Test.	Valid.	Train. algor.	Error funct.	Hidden activat.	Output activat.
MLP 5-10-1	0.912	0.837	0.899	5091.120	6872.825	25 100.73	BFGS 85	SOS	Exponential	Identity

Summary ANN model for training, testing and validation ${
m cycles}^{
m a}$

S

TABLE

Note: activat., activation; algor., algorithm; funct., function; Test., testing; Train., training; Valid., validation.

Performance term represent the coefficients of determination, while error terms indicate a lack of data for the ANN model.

The accuracy indices of the model were presented in Table 6. The lower χ^2 , MBE, RMSE and MPE values showing the better fit to the experimental results.⁸⁴ The predicted RIs are presented in Table 1, confirming the good quality of the constructed ANN, by showing the relationship between the predicted and experimental RIs values. Graphical comparison between experimentally obtained retention indices of S. sclarea EOs composition in 2019 (RI^a) and the retention time indices predicted by the ANN model (RI_{pred} .) are presented in Figure 2. The calculated results show that the ANN models results could be applied for predicting of the RIs in S. sclarea EOs obtained by GC-MS analysis.



FIGURE 2 Comparison of retention indices (RIs) of Salvia sclarea EOs with ANN predicted values (RI_{pred}.)

TABLE 6	The "goodness of fit" tests for the developed ANN
model	

χ ²	RMSE	MBE	MPE	r ²
1.7E+04	129.315	19.498	6.491	.840

Abbreviations: MBE, mean bias error; MPE, mean percentage error; r^2 , coefficient of determination; RMSE, root mean square error; χ^2 , reduced chi-square.



FIGURE 3 Yoon's global sensitivity equation: the relative importance of the five molecular descriptors (MDs) on retention indices (RIs)

3.6 | Global sensitivity analysis-Yoon's interpretation method

The impact of the five most significant MDs, chosen by factor analysis and GA on RIs, were explored. According to Figure 3, Ve2Dze was the most important MD for chemical compounds in S. sclarea, with relative importance of 50.63%.

4 CONCLUSION

The major compounds in Serbian domestic fragrant variety of S. sclarea EOs were oxygenated monoterpenes, linalool and linalyl acetate. Slight differences were observed in the content of the major EO compounds (oxygenated monoterpenes) and antimicrobial activity when different distillation techniques were concerned; however, monoterpene and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons and antioxidant activity were greatly affected by mentioned factors. Chemical compounds in S. sclarea EO were identified by GC-MS analysis and were used for QSRR analysis. The following eight molecular descriptors were suggested by GA: ATSC4s, AATSC1v, MATS2s, GATS6v and Ve2_Dze that characterize RIs of identified compounds. The chosen molecular descriptors were not correlated statistically significant to other molecular descriptors, and thus they could be applied for QSRR model building, for estimating the retention indices using a set of GC-MS data from a series of 78 compounds identified in S. sclarea EOs.

The QSRR model results explained that the selected molecular descriptors were accurate enough for predicting the RIs of the observed chemical compounds. The value of r^2 during training reached .912, which is a good indication that the model could be appropriate tool for prediction of retention indices, due to a high r^2 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, grant number: 451-03-68/2020-14/200032.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ORCID

Milica G. Aćimović 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5346-1412

REFERENCES

- 1. Lattoo SK, Dhar RS, Dhar AK, Sharma PR, Agarwal SG. Dynamics of essential oil biosynthesis in relation to inflorescence and glandular ontogeny in Salvia sclarea. Flavour Fragrance J. 2006;21(5):817-821. doi:10.1002/ffj.1733
- 2. Aćimović M, Kiprovski B, Rat M, et al. Salvia sclarea: chemical composition and biological activity. J Agron Technol Eng Manag. 2018;1(1):18-28.

³⁰ WILEY

- Pešić PŽ, Banković VM. Investigation on the essential oil of cultivated Salvia sclarea L. Flavour Fragrance J. 2003;18(3):228-230. doi:10.1002/ffj.1202
- 4. Verzar-Petri G, Then M, Meszaros S. Formation of essential oil in clary sage under different conditions. In: Svendsen AB, Scheffer JJC, eds. *Essential Oils and Aromatic Plants*. Springer; 1985:199-202. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-5137-2_22
- Kuzma L, Kalemba D, Rozalski M, et al. Chemical composition and biological activities of essential oil from *Salvia sclarea* plants regenerated *in vitro*. *Molecules*. 2009;14(4):1438-1447. doi:10.3390/ molecules14041438
- Verma RS. Chemical investigation of decanted and hydrophilic fractions of *Salvia sclarea* essential oil. *Asian J Tradit Med.* 2010;5(3):102-108.
- Mohammadhosseini M, Akbarzadeh A, Flamini G. Profiling of compositions of essential oils and volatiles of *Salvia limbata* using traditional and advanced techniques and evaluation for biological activities of their extracts. *Chem Biodivers*. 2017;14:e1600361. doi:10.1002/cbdv.201600361
- Nekoei M, Mohammadhosseini M. Chemical composition of essential oils of *Salvia leriifolia* by three different extraction methods prior to gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric determination: comparison of HD with SFME and HS-SPME. *J Essent Oil Bear Plants*. 2017;20:410-425. doi:10.1080/0972060X.2017.1305918
- Salinas M, Bec N, Calva J, et al. Chemical composition and anticholinesterase activity of the essential oil from the Ecuadorian plant Salvia pichinchensis Benth. Rec Nat Prod. 2020;14:276-285. doi:10.25135/rnp.164.19.07.1342
- Dogan G, Hayta S, Yuce E, Bagci E. Composition of the essential oil of two Salvia taxa (Salvia sclarea and Salvia verticillata subsp. verticillata) from Turkey. Nat Sci Discov. 2015;1(3):62-67. doi:10.20863/ nsd.23928
- Kulak M, Gul F, Sekeroglu N. Changes in growth parameter and essential oil composition of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) leaves in response to various salt stresses. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2020;145:112078. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.112078
- Ibraliu A, Doko A, Hajdari A, et al. Essential oils chemical variability of seven populations of *Salvia officinalis* L. in North of Albania. *Maced J Chem Chem Eng.* 2020;39:31-39. doi:10.20450/ mjcce.2020.1903
- Mohammadhosseini M. Chemical composition of the volatile fractions from flowers, leaves and stems of *Salvia mirzayanii* by HS-SPME-GC-MS. *J Essent Oil Bear Plants*. 2015;18:464-476. doi:10.1080/0972060X.2014.1001185
- Council of Europe. Clary sage oil. In: European Pharmacopoeia. 7th ed. European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Healthcare; 2010:1104-1105.
- Szentmihályi K, Héthelyi É, Virág V, Then M. Mineral elements in muscat sage plant (*Salvia sclarea* L.) and essential oil. *Acta Biol Szeged*. 2009;53:35-38.
- Hristova Y, Gochev V, Wanner JKR. Chemical composition and antifungal activity of essential oil of *Salvia sclarea* L. from Bulgaria against clinical isolates of *Candida* species. *J BioSci Biotechnol*. 2013;2(1):39-44.
- Pitarokili D, Couladis M, Petsikos-Panayotarou N, Tzakou O. Composition and antifungal activity on soil-borne pathogens of the essential oil of *Salvia sclarea* from Greece. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2002;50(23):6688-6691. doi:10.1021/jf020422n
- Christensson BJ, Karlberg AT, Andersen KE, et al. Oxidized limonene and oxidized linalool – concomitant contact allergy to common fragrance terpenes. *Contact Derm.* 2016;74(5):273-280. doi:10.1111/cod.12545
- Deza G, García-Bravo B, Silvestre JF, et al. Contact sensitization to limonene and linalool hydroperoxides in Spain: a GEIDAC* prospective study. *Contact Derm.* 2017;76(2):74-80. doi:10.1111/ cod.12714

- de Groot AC. Fragrances and essential oils. In: John S, Johansen J, Rustemeyer T, Elsner P, Maibach H, eds. *Kanerva's Occupational Dermatology*. Springer; 2018:443-465. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-68617-2_40
- Tuttolomondo T, Iapichino G, Licata M, Virga G, Leto C, La Bella S. Agronomic evaluation and chemical characterization of Sicilian Salvia sclarea L. accessions. Agronomy. 2020;10:1114. doi:10.3390/ agronomy10081114
- Cui H, Zhang X, Zhou H, Zhao C, Lin L. Antimicrobial activity and mechanisms of *Salvia sclarea* essential oil. *Bot Stud.* 2015;56(1):16. doi:10.1186/s40529-015-0096-4
- Schmiderer C, Grassi P, Novak J, Weber M, Franz C. Diversity of essential oil glands of clary sage (*Salvia sclarea* L., Lamiaceae). *Plant Biol*. 2008;10(4):433-440.
- Stankov S, Fidan H, Petkova N, et al. Phytochemical composition of Salvia candidissima Vahl. ssp. occidentalis from Turkey. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2020;23(4):710-718. doi:10.1080/09720 60X.2020.1824689
- Caniard A, Zerbe P, Legrand S, et al. Discovery and functional characterization of two diterpene synthases for sclareol biosynthesis in *Salvia sclarea* (L.) and their relevance for perfume manufacture. BMC Plant Biol. 2012;12:119. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-12-119
- Verma R, Chauhan A, Rahman L, Singh A. Aroma profile of clary sage (*Salvia sclarea* L.): influence of harvesting stage and post harvest storage in Uttarakhand Hills. *Med Aromat Plant Sci Biotechnol*. 2011;5(2):139-142.
- Kostić M, Kitić D, Petrović MB, et al. The anti-inflamatory effect of the clary sage extract (*Salvia sclarea* L.). Arhiv za Farmaciju. 2018;68(3):702-703.
- Dobrikova AG, Apostolova EL, Hanc A, et al. Cadmium toxicity in Salvia sclarea L.: an integrative response of element uptake, oxidative stress markers, leaf structure and photosynthesis. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2021;209:111851. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111851
- 29. Dobrikova A, Apostolova E, Hanc A, et al. Tolerance mechanisms of the aromatic and medicinal plant *Salvia sclarea* L. to excess zinc. *Plants*. 2021;10:194. doi:10.3390/plants10020194
- Glisic SB, Ristic M, Skala DU. The combined extraction of sage (Salvia officinalis L.): ultrasound followed by supercritical CO₂ extraction. Ultrason Sonochem. 2011;18(1):318-326.
- Dragovic-Uzelac V, Elez Garofulic I, Juki M, Penic M, Dent M. The influence of microwave-assisted extraction on the isolation of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) polyphenols. *Food Technol Biotechnol*. 2012;50(3):377-383.
- Rassem HHA, Nour AH, Yunus RM. Techniques for extraction of essential oils from plants: a review. Aust J Basic Appl Sci. 2016;10(16):117-127.
- Venditti A. What is and what should never be: artifacts, improbable phytochemicals, contaminants and natural products. *Nat Prod Res.* 2020;34(7):1014-1031. doi:10.1080/14786 419.2018.1543674
- Kebede A, Hayelom M. The design and manufacturing of essential oil distillation plant for rural poverty alleviation in Ethiopia. *Ethiopian J Environ Stud Manag.* 2008;1(1):84-91.
- Caissard JC, Olivier T, Delbecque C, et al. Extracellular localization of the diterpenesclareol in clary sage (*Salvia sclarea* L., Lamiaceae). *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e48253. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048253
- Koutsaviti A, Tzini DI, Tzakou O. Greek Salvia sclarea L. essential oils: effect of hydrodistillation time, comparison of the aroma chemicals using hydrodistillation and HS-SPME Techniques. *Rec Nat Prod.* 2016;10(6):800-805.
- Lawrence BM. Production of clary sage oil and sclareol in North America. Proc of Remes Recontres Internationales Nyons, Nyons, France (5-7 December 1994).
- Aguayo-Villarreal IA, Hernández-Montoya V, Rangel-Vázquez NA, Montes-Morán MA. Determination of QSAR properties of textile

dyes and their adsorption on novel arbonaceous adsorbents. *J Mol Liq.* 2014;196:326-333. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2014.04.008

- Zapadka M, Kaczmarek M, Kupcewicz B, et al. An application of QSRR approach and multiple linear regression method for lipophilicity assessment of flavonoids. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2019;164:681-689. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2018.11.024
- 40. Yousefinejad S, Hemmateenejad B. Chemometrics tools in QSAR/ QSPR studies: a historical perspective. *Chemom Intell Lab Syst.* 2015;149:177-204. doi:10.1016/j.chemolab.2015.06.016
- Baczek T, Kaliszan R, Novotná K, Jandera P. Comparative characteristics of HPLC columns based on quantitative structure-retention relationships (QSRR) and hydrophobic-subtraction model. *J Chromatogr* A. 2005;1075:109-115. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2005.03.117
- 42. Khezeli T, Daneshfar A, Sahraei R. A green ultrasonic-assisted liquid-liquid microextraction based on deep eutectic solvent for the HPLC-UV determination of ferulic, caffeic and cinnamic acid from olive, almond, sesame and cinnamon oil. *Talanta*. 2016;150:577-585. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2015.12.077
- 43. Zanousi MBP, Nekoei M, Mohammadhosseini M. Composition of the essential oils and volatile fractions of Artemisia absinthium by three different extraction methods: hydrodistillation, solvent-free microwave extraction and headspace solid-phase microextraction combined with a novel QSRR evaluation. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2016;19:1561-1581. doi:10.1080/0972060X.2014.1001139
- Zanousi MBP, Nekoei M, Mohammadhosseini M. Chemical compositions of the essential oils from stems, leaves and fruits of Artemisia tschernieviana and exploring quantitative structure-retention relationships (QSRRs) for prediction of corresponding retention indices. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2017;20:672-687. doi:10.1080/09720 60X.2017.1329669
- 45. Cajka T, Fiehn O. Toward merging untargeted and targeted methods in mass spectrometry-based metabolomics and lipidomics. *Anal Chem.* 2016;88:524-545. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04491
- Aćimović M, Pezo L, Stanković Jeremić J, et al. QSRR model for predicting retention indices of geraniol chemotype of *Thymus* serpyllum essential oil. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2020;23:464-473. doi:10.1080/0972060X.2020.1790428
- CLSI M07-Ed11. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- Čabarkapa I, Čolović R, Đuragić O, et al. Anti-biofilm activities of essential oils rich in carvacrol and thymol against Salmonella enteritidis. Biofouling. 2019;35:361-375. doi:10.1080/08927014.2019
- Makkar HPS. Quantification of Tannins in Tree and Shrub Foliage: A Laboratory Manual. Springer Science and Business Media; 2003. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-0273-7
- Panda SK. Assay guided comparison for enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidant activities with special reference to medicinal plants. In: El-Missiry MA, ed. Antioxidant Enzyme. InTech; 2012:381-400. doi: 10.5772/50782
- Yap CW. PaDEL-descriptor: an open source software to calculate molecular descriptors and fingerprints. J Comput Chem. 2011;32:1446-1474. doi:10.1002/jcc.21707
- 52. Goldberg DE. Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimisation and Machine Learning. Addison-Wesley; 1989.
- Gramatica P. Principles of QSAR models validation: internal and external. QSAR Comb Sci. 2007;26:694-701. doi:10.1002/qsar.20061 0151
- Hu X, Weng Q. Estimating impervious surfaces from medium spatial resolution imagery using the self-organizing map and multi-layer perceptron neural networks. *Remote Sens Environ*. 2009;113(10):2089-2102. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.05.014
- 55. Xu Q, Wei C, Liu R, Gu S, Xu J. Quantitative structure-property relationship study of β-cyclodextrin complexation free energies of organic compounds. *Chemom Intell Lab Syst.* 2015;146:313-321. doi:10.1016/j.chemolab.2015.06.001

- Aćimović M, Pezo L, Tešević V, Čabarkapa I, Todosijević M. QSRR model for predicting retention indices of *Satureja kitaibelii* Wierzb. ex Heuff. essential oil composition. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2020;154:112752. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112752
- Yoon Y, Swales G, Margavio TM. A comparison of discriminant analysis versus artificial neural networks. J Oper Res Soc. 2017;44:51-60. doi:10.2307/2584434
- Mohammadhosseini M. Hydrodistilled volatile oils of the flowers of *Salvia leriifolia* Bench. and *Salvia multicaulis* Vahl. as two growing wild plants in Iran. *Asian J Chem*. 2012;24:1432-1434.
- 59. Sharopov FS, Setzer WN. The essential oil of *Salvia sclarea* L. from Tajikistan. *Rec Nat Prod*. 2012;6:75-79.
- Sharopov FS, Satyal P, Setzer WN, Wink M. Chemical compositions of the essential oils of three Salvia species cultivated in Germany. Am J Essent Oils Nat Prod. 2015;3:26-29.
- Babu GK, Singh B. Characteristics variation of lavender oil produced by different hydrodistillation techniques. In: Gupta VK, Taneja SC, Gupta BD, eds. Comprehensive Bioactive Natural Products: Quality Control and Standardization. Vol. 8. Studium Press; 2010:122-136.
- Filly A, Fabiano-Tixier AS, Louis C, Fernandez X, Chemat F. Water as a green solvent combined with different techniques for extraction of essential oil from lavender flowers. C R Chim. 2016;19:707-717. doi:10.1016/j.crci.2016.01.018
- Casabianca H, Graff JB, Faugier V, Fleig F, Grenier C. Enantiomeric distribution studies of linalool and linalyl acetate. A powerful tool for authenticity control of essential oils. J High Resolut Chromatogr. 1998;21:107-112.
- Noge K, Shimizu N, Becerra JX. (R)-(-)-Linalyl acetate and (S)-(-)germacrene D from the leaves of Mexican Bursera linanoe. Nat Prod Commun. 2010;5:351-354.
- Cantor M, Vlas N, Szekely-Varga Z, Jucan D, Zaharia A. The influence of distillation time and the flowering phenophase on quantity and quality of the essential oil of *Lavandula angustifolia* cv. 'Codreanca'. *Rom Biotechnol Lett.* 2018;23:14146-14152. doi:10.26327/RBL20 18.192
- Barbinta-Patrascu ME, Badea N, Ungureanu C, Besliu D, Antohe S. Bioactive phyto-nanosilver particles "green" synthesized from clary sage, burdock, southernwood and asparagus. *Rom Rep Phys.* 2020;72:606.
- Aćimović M, Tešević V, Smiljanić K, et al. Hydrolates by-products of essential oil distillation: chemical composition, biological activity and potential uses. *Adv Technol.* 2020;9:54-70. doi:10.5937/savte h2002054A
- Ovidi E, Laghezza Masci V, Zambelli M, Tiezzi A, Vitalini S, Garzoli S. Laurus nobilis, Salvia sclarea and Salvia officinalis essential oils and hydrolates: evaluation of liquid and vapor phase chemical composition and biological activities. Plants. 2021;10:707. doi:10.3390/ plants10040707
- Bassolé IH, Juliani HR. Essential oils in combination and their antimicrobial properties. *Molecules*. 2012;17:3989-4006. doi:10.3390/ molecules17043989
- Viuda-Martos M, Ruiz Navajas Y, Sánchez Zapata E, Fernández-López J, Pérez-Álvarez JA. Antioxidant activity of essential oils of five spice plants widely used in a Mediterranean diet. *Flavour Fragrance J.* 2010;25:13-19. doi:10.1002/ffj.1951
- Gulcin Ü, Uguz MT, Oktay M, Beydemir S, Kufrevioglu OI. Evaluation of the antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of clary sage (Salvia sclarea L.). Turk J Agric For. 2004;28:25-33.
- Taarit MB, Msaada K, Hosni K, Marzouk B. Fatty acids, phenolic changes and antioxidant activity of clary sage leaves grown under saline conditions. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2012;38:58-63.
- Kucuk S, Has M, Tuyan CS, Göger F. Determination of antioxidant activiy Salvia sclarea L. and Rosmarinus officinalis L. (Lamiaceae) species from Eskişehir, Turkey. Eskişehir Tech Univ J Sci Technol C Life Sci Biotechnol. 2020;9:155-159. doi:10.18036/estubtdc.669811

³² WILEY

- Yuce E, Yildirim N, Yildirim NC, Paksoy MY, Bagci E. Essential oil composition, antioxidant and antifungal activities of *Salvia sclarea* L. from Munzur Valley in Tunceli, Turkey. *Cell Mol Biol.* 2014;60:1-5. doi:10.14715/cmb/2014.60.2.1
- 75. Yan PS, White PJ. Linalyl acetate and other compounds with related structures as antioxidants in heated soybean oil. *J Agric Food Chem.* 1990;38(10):1904-1908. doi:10.1021/jf00100a005
- 76. Yılar M, Bayar Y, Bayar AAA, Genç N. Chemical composition of the essential oil of *Salvia bracteata* Banks and the biological activity of its extracts: antioxidant, total phenolic, total flavonoid, antifungal and allelopathic effects. *Bot Serb.* 2020;44:71-79. doi:10.2298/ BOTSERB2001071Y
- 77. Nedamani RE, Mahoonak SA, Ghorbani M, Kashaninejad M. Evaluation of antioxidant interactions in combined extracts of green tea (*Camellia sinensis*), rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*) and oak fruit (*Quercus branti*). J Food Sci Technol. 2015;52(7):4565-4571. doi:10.1007/s13197-014-1497-1
- Nekoei M, Salimi M, Dolatabadi M, Mohammadhosseini M. Prediction of antileukemia activity of berbamine derivatives by genetic algorithm-multiple linear regression. *Monatsh Chem.* 2011;142:943. doi:10.1007/s00706-011-0510-x
- Nekoei M, Mohammadhosseini M, Pourbasheer E. QSAR study of VEGFR-2 inhibitors by using genetic algorithm-multiple linear regressions (GA-MLR) and genetic algorithm-support vector machine (GA-SVM): a comparative approach. *Med Chem Res.* 2015;24:3037-3046. doi:10.1007/s00044-015-1354-4

- Todeschini R, Consonni V. Molecular Descriptors for Chemoinformatics. Wiley VCH; 2009. doi:10.1002/9783527628766
- Todeschini R, Consonni V. Handbook of Molecular Descriptors, Methods and Principles in Medicinal Chemistry. Wiley-VCH; 2000. doi:10.1002/9783527613106
- Azar PA, Nekoei M, Riahi S, Ganjali MR, Zare K. A quantitative structure-retention relationship for the prediction of retention indices of the essential oils of *Ammoides atlantica*. J Serbian Chem Soc. 2011;76(6):891-902. doi:10.2298/JSC100219076A
- Niazian M, Sadat-Noori SA, Abdipour M. Artificial neural network and multiple regression analysis models to predict essential oil content of ajowan (*Carum copticum* L.). J Appl Res Med Aromat Plants. 2018;9:124-131. doi:10.1016/j.jarmap.2018.04.001
- Arsenović M, Pezo L, Stanković S, Radojević Z. Factor space differentiation of brick clays according to mineral content: prediction of final brick product quality. *Appl Clay Sci.* 2015;115:108-114. doi:10.1016/j.clay.2015.07.030

How to cite this article: Aćimović MG, Cvetković MT, Stanković Jeremić JM, et al. Biological activity and profiling of *Salvia sclarea* essential oil obtained by steam and hydrodistillation extraction methods via chemometrics tools. *Flavour Fragr J.* 2022;37:20–32. doi:<u>10.1002/ffj.3684</u>