



TITLE: Effect of different ripening conditions on pigments of pepper for paprika production at green stage of maturity

AUTHORS: Žarko S. Kevrešan, Jasna S. Mastilović, Anamarija I. Mandić, Aleksandra M. Torbica

This article is provided by author(s) and FINS Repository in accordance with publisher policies.

The correct citation is available in the FINS Repository record for this article.

NOTICE: This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, Volume 61, Issue 38, 25 September 2013, Pages 9125–9130. DOI: 10.1021/jf400424a

This item is made available to you under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative Works – CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 Serbia



Effect of Different Ripening Conditions on pigments of Pepper for Paprika Production in Green Stage of Maturity

Žarko S. Kevrešan^{1*}, Jasna S. Mastilović¹, Anamarija I. Mandić¹, Aleksandra M. Torbica¹

¹University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad,
Serbia

*Corresponding author (Tel: +381-21-4853821; Fax: +381-21-450725; E-mail:

zarko.kevresan@fins.uns.ac.rs)

1 **Abstract**

2 Content and composition of pigments and CIELab color properties were compared in fruits
3 ripened in the field with those obtained in ground paprika produced from green pepper fruits after
4 postharvest ripening for 15 days in greenhouse under different conditions. Obtained data for
5 pigment content, composition and esterification rate have shown that the processes of pigment
6 biosynthesis in fruits ripened under greenhouse conditions are different from those occurring in
7 naturally matured fruits in the field: red/yellow pigment ratio (3:1) in greenhouse ripened fruits is
8 much higher than in naturally ripened pepper in breaker (1:1), but also in faint red (2:1) ripening
9 stage from the field. Additionally, during the postharvest ripening of green pepper in the
10 greenhouse esterification processes are less expressed than during the ripening of the fruits in the
11 field. Postharvest ripening under natural daylight changes has resulted in higher content of red
12 pigments, followed with higher ASTA value.

13 **Key words:**

14 *Pepper, ground paprika powder, color, carotenoids, carotenoid esterification, ripening*
15 *conditions*

16 **Introduction**

17 One of the main characteristic of ground red paprika is its extractable color. Color, rated on the
18 basis of ASTA¹ is the base for classification of ground red paprika products worldwide. The color
19 of ground red paprika is also by rule listed among the specific properties in declarations of
20 ground red paprika with protected denomination of origin (PDO) or with protected geographic
21 identification (PGI) like Pimentón de Murcia (ASTA minimum 120) and Pimentón de la Vera
22 (ASTA minimum 90).

23 Although widely accepted, ASTA method has its drawbacks: it requires equipped laboratory and
24 it is time consuming (16 h). Color properties of ground red paprika expressed in CIELab system
25 have been the subject of investigation of numerous authors using method for determining color
26 change as a consequence of paprika storage,^{2,3} paprika powder diameter,⁴ ionizing radiation and
27 steam,⁵ drying rate.⁶ Some authors successfully correlated quick and easy apparent (CIELab) and
28 ASTA method.⁷

29 Color of ground red paprika highly depends on content and composition of carotenoids⁸ present
30 in the pepper fruits used in its production consequently resulting in research investigating
31 dependence of carotenoid composition on pepper variety,^{9,10} maturity stage of pepper fruits¹¹⁻¹³
32 and processing conditions.^{14,15}

33 Regarding harvest in traditional, manual production, ripe red fruits are sequentially picked from
34 the plant and left to be dried under natural conditions. High production costs as the consequence
35 of such approach to the production of ground red paprika are acceptable only in the case of high
36 added value products like PDO and PGI products. In a large scale production of ground red
37 paprika, which is before all commercially very competitive because of its price, there is a
38 tendency to perform harvest mechanically at the moment when majority of the fruits achieve the

39 full ripeness. Nevertheless, at the harvesting moment some fruits on the plants are still not
40 completely ripe, influencing thus the quality of ground red paprika predominantly by altering its
41 color. Additionally, even when harvesting is performed manually, some pepper fruits remain
42 unripe (green) at the end of the growing season and the question of possibility and effects of their
43 utilization in ground red paprika production is still opened.

44 Nevertheless, there is no sufficient information on changes of pigment content and composition
45 in unripe pepper fruits during their postharvest ripening and their influence on color properties of
46 ground red paprika. The aim of present research is to analyze the differences in pigment content
47 and composition in green pepper ripened in the field and in the greenhouse under different
48 postharvest ripening conditions and to relate them to the color properties of produced ground red
49 paprika.

50

51 **Materials and methods**

52 **Sampling and postharvest treatment (ripening) of pepper fruits**

53 Green pepper fruits (*Capsicum annum* L.) variety “AlevaNK” were picked manually from the
54 field, located in the northern part of Serbia. During the production a good agricultural practice
55 was applied including fertilization, irrigation and plant protection. Pepper fruits were sampled
56 from the field when 80% of fruits were well developed in a green maturity stage. For fruits
57 analyzed without postharvest ripening picking, measurement, transport from the field to the
58 laboratory, preparation for drying, drying and grinding were performed in the period not
59 exceeding three days. Remaining fruits were subjected to the postharvest ripening for 15 days
60 under different conditions: with natural daylight changes and in the dark. For both ripening
61 conditions treatment was conducted in greenhouse under semi-controlled temperature (25-45 °C)

62 and humidity (40-60%). During the ripening pepper fruits were spread on flat surface. After
63 postharvest ripening, fruits were dried under laboratory conditions in the same manner as fresh
64 fruits (for 10 h with gradual increase of temperature from 40 °C at the beginning to 80 °C at the
65 end of experiment). After drying, in order to obtain powdered pepper, pericarp was separated
66 from seeds and grinded shortly, for 10 s, in a laboratory grinder in order to avoid sample heating,
67 but long enough to obtain powder with over 90% of particles with size under 350 μm .
68 Simultaneously, the ripening of pepper was observed at the same field and pepper fruits were
69 picked manually at the time when majority (approximately 80%) of fruits reached breaker (8 days
70 after picking green fruits) and faint red (another 10 days after picking breaker) stage of ripening.
71 Pericarp of fresh fruits was separated from seeds and used for the analysis. Complete scheme of
72 conducted experiment is presented in Figure 1.

73

74 **Analytical methods**

75 Color of fresh fruits and powder samples was measured as a reflected color in the CIELab color
76 space. Measurements were performed using a MOMCOLOR 101 (MOM – Budapest) and
77 *MOMCOLOR computing unit* was used for calculation of lightness of color (L^*), ratio between
78 red/green (a^*) and yellow and blue (b^*) from measured $x1$, $x2$, y and z values. Ten readings were
79 performed for each sample. For fresh fruits color measurements were performed on visually even
80 color characteristic for each maturity stage (green, breaker and faint red). Powder samples were
81 spread in 0.5 cm layer and color was measured at ten different points. Dominant wavelength was
82 estimated from chromaticity diagram, while the color difference between two samples (ΔE_{ab}^*)
83 was calculated as the square root from sum of squared differences between measured L^* , a^* and

84 b* values of compared samples. Method proposed by American Spice Trade Association¹ was
85 used for the measurement of extractable red color and result is expressed in ASTA units.

86 In all samples moisture content was determined by drying sample to the constant mass at 105 °C.
87 Chlorophyll content was determined by extraction of chlorophyll from 10 g of the representative
88 pepper pericarp sample with acetone (P.A. grade, J.T. Baker, Deventer, Holland), under dim light
89 and by measuring absorption of the extract at 662 and 664 nm. Calculation of chlorophyll *a* and *b*
90 content was conducted according to Wettstein.¹⁶

91 Extraction of carotenoids from the pepper pericarp was performed according to
92 Mínguez-Mosquera and Hornero-Méndez¹⁷. Separation of extracted carotenoids was performed
93 according to the method of Morais et al.¹⁸ with minor modifications by Kevrešan et al¹⁹. HP1090
94 (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) HPLC system with DAD detector equipped with Zorbax SB
95 C18 column (3.0×250 mm i.d., particle size 5 µm) and the same precolumn (Zorbax SB C18,
96 4.6×12 mm i.d., particle size 5 µm) was used. Separation of pigments was carried out at ambient
97 temperature (24±1 °C). Flow rate was 1.5 mL/min. Two eluents were used A: acetone-water
98 (75:25, v/v) and B: acetone-methanol (75:25, v/v) with following gradient: from 0% to 25% B in
99 10 min, from 25% to 100% B in 35 min, 100% B for 10 min. All reagents used for separation
100 were HPLC quality grade purchased from J.T. Baker (Deventer, Holland), while water was grade
101 1 quality according to ISO 3696:1987. After each analysis eluent B was reduced to 0% in 20 min
102 and then the system was left running under these conditions for 15 min before the next analysis.

103 Carotenoids were detected at 460±4 nm. For each peak the whole spectrum (from 300 – 550 nm)
104 was recorded. Peaks were identified by comparing retention time and/or spectra with carotenoid
105 standards (capsorubin, antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin, violaxanthin and β-carotene). Standards were
106 acquired from Carotenature, Switzerland, while capsanthin was purchased from Hoffman La

107 Roche, Switzerland. All unidentified yellow carotenoids were calculated as β -carotene
108 equivalents. Results for pigment content were expressed per dry weight.
109 Obtained results were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by comparison of
110 means with Duncan's test at significance level of 0.01, using the Statistica 10.0 software.²⁰

111 **Results and discussion**

112 In the first step of analysis of obtained results, the comparative overview of differences in pepper
113 pigment composition of paprika fruits ripened in the field and paprika obtained from green
114 pepper fruits ripened in the greenhouse under different conditions is presented and related with
115 color properties in CIELab system and ASTA value of analyzed samples.

116 Changes in pigment content and composition during the ripening process of investigated pepper
117 (*Capsicum annuum*) variety *AlevaNK* in the field, from green, over breaker to faint red maturity
118 stage are presented in Table 1.

119 In green pepper, dominant pigments are chlorophylls and yellow carotenoids emphasizing
120 photosynthesis as the dominant biochemical process. Red pigments, capsanthin and capsorubin
121 were not identified in green paprika fruits, but the intermediate products of their synthetic
122 pathways, including zeaxanthin, antheraxanthin and violaxanthin were identified, indicating
123 initiation of biochemical changes leading to formation of red pigments (Table 1).

124 Towards the breaker phase, content of chlorophyll is reduced to the half in green fruits, while the
125 total of carotenoids content is doubled. Red pigments start to be accumulated with content of
126 capsanthin being up to 7 fold higher than content of capsorubin. More or less equal content of red
127 and yellow pigments was registered in fruits at breaker maturity phase. Pepper at breaker phase
128 starts to accumulate β -carotene, and the quantity of zeaxanthin is increased in comparison to
129 green fruits. On the other hand, content of antherxanthin remains at the same level as in the green

130 fruits, while the content of violaxanthin significantly decreases. Variation in the content of yellow
131 pigments in pepper ripening stages reported for variety Bola²¹ pointed out gradual but permanent
132 increase of these intermediate products of red pigments during the ripening process in the field.
133 At the same time findings of Deli et al.¹², based on the research on Szentesi Kosszarvu pepper
134 variety, are mainly aligned with our findings. These contrasting results indicate that the stage of
135 development, variety and ripening conditions influence the dynamic of pigment synthesis and
136 intermediate products equilibrium.

137 Total carotenoid content in faint red pepper fruits is significantly higher than in breaker stage and
138 especially higher compared to green fruits. In faint red pepper content of red pigment is twice as
139 high as content of total yellow pigments and the content of capsanthin is over 10 times higher
140 than the content of capsorubin. In faint red paprika fruits content of β -carotene and zeaxanthin is
141 multiply increased in comparison to breaker phase, while oppositely to findings of
142 Mínguez-Mosquera and Hornero-Méndez²² and Deli et al.^{12,13} antheraxanthin was not identified.

143 Carotenoids synthesized during the pepper fruit maturation undergo the esterification process.^{21,23}
144 Esterification of carotenoids in investigated fruits of pepper variety AlevaNK is presented in
145 Table 2. It is obvious that in green pepper almost only non-esterified forms of pigments are
146 present, in breaker stage quantity of pigments in esterified forms is more or less at the level of
147 nonesterified pigments, while in faint red fruits zeaxanthin, capsathin and capsorubin are present
148 more in esterified than in nonesterified forms with major quantity being in diesterified form.

149 These results confirm the findings presented by Mínguez-Mosquera and Hornero-Méndez.²¹
150 Described changes of pigment content, composition and esterification in pepper fruits ripening in
151 the field are further compared with pigment composition in ground paprika obtained from pepper
152 fruits picked at green maturity stage and have been subjected to different postharvest treatments

153 (Tables 3 and 4). Even in green paprika, dried and grinded shortly after harvesting, some
154 quantities of β -carotene and capsorubin have been registered, oppositely to fresh green pepper
155 fruit. Other sign indicating instant changes in the pigment composition after picking of green
156 fruits is a complete disappearance of antheraxanthin and violaxanthin, as well as chlorophyll and
157 multitude of unidentified yellow pigments. Although the complete processing procedure of fresh
158 green pepper fruits was conducted in less than three days and under usual processing conditions
159 used in ground paprika production it is obvious that significant changes in carotenoid content
160 occurred. Such results can be easily ascribed to changes causing partial or complete destruction
161 of certain pigments even under very mild processing conditions, but also to dynamic biochemical
162 processes in the first days after paprika harvesting.^{14,15,21,22} Nevertheless, it is quite obvious that
163 balance of pigments in paprika dried and grinded shortly after harvesting is quite different than in
164 green fresh fruits. This points out that also color changes reflecting more red but less green and
165 yellow tones than fresh fruit, can be expected (Table 3). In ground paprika obtained from the
166 green pepper without postharvest ripening, pigments are dominantly in nonesterified form (Table
167 4).

168 Majority of green pepper fruits subjected to postharvest ripening during 15 days period visually
169 appeared as red fruits. Nevertheless, obtained data for pigment content, composition and
170 esterification rate (Tables 3 and 4) have shown that the processes of pigment biosynthesis in
171 fruits ripened in postharvest processes are different from those occurring in the naturally matured
172 fruits in the field (Tables 1 and 2).

173 Quantity of red pigments in paprika produced from green pepper fruits ripened by greenhouse
174 postharvest treatments during 15 days is over 3 times higher than the quantity of yellow pigments,
175 providing the red/yellow pigment ratio (3:1) much above naturally ripened pepper at breaker
176 (1:1), but also in faint red (2:1) ripening stage from the field. Furthermore, in greenhouse ripened

177 fruits, oppositely to the fruits ripened in the field, no accumulation of β -carotene and zeaxanthine
178 has occurred, but rather the biosynthesis process redirects the pathways to formation of red
179 pigments, capsanthin and capsorubin. These findings are in contrast with the results of Markus et
180 al.²⁴ who observed an increase of β -carotene content in paprika spice produced from overripened
181 fruits harvested in later maturity stages. Also, small quantity of antheraxanthin, which has not
182 been registered in faint red fruit from the field, is present in fruits ripened in the postharvest
183 treatment. The quantity of violaxanthin is multiple times lower than in fruits ripen in the field
184 (Tables 1 and 3). Different stress factors, such as salinity²⁵, water stress²⁶ and storage
185 temperature²⁷ are proven to influence the carotenoid biosynthesis in edible plant organs. Harvest,
186 as process by which fruit is detached from plant, is stress for both remaining plant and fruit,
187 especially for green, non mature fruits and could be one of reasons for changing intensity of
188 carotenoid biosynthesis in investigated green pepper fruits and consequently in obtained ground
189 paprika.

190 By comparing pigment composition of ground paprika obtained from green pepper fruit ripened
191 under natural daylight change and in the dark, it can be concluded that postharvest ripening under
192 natural daylight changes is resulting in higher content of red pigments (both capsanthin and
193 capsorubin), and in higher contents of β -carotene and zeaxanthin. At the same time contents of
194 antheraxanthin and violaxanthin are at the same level regardless of applied postharvest ripening
195 conditions. Quantity of capsanthin in paprika produced from the pepper ripened in the dark is
196 more than 13 times higher than capsorubin content and for the postharvest treatment under
197 natural daylight this ratio is similar to faint red pepper ripened in the field (Tables 1 and 3).

198 During the postharvest ripening of green pepper the esterification processes are less expressed
199 then during the ripening of the fruits in the field. Zeaxanthin is not undergoing the esterification
200 processes, and other yellow pigments are present only in monoesterified form. Esterification of

201 red pigments is somewhat more expressed compared to yellow ones, and capsanthin is present in
202 both mono and diesterified forms. Quantities of monoesterified pigments are approximately
203 doubled if the postharvest ripening is conducted under the natural daylight changes in comparison
204 to the dark conditions. Regardless of postharvest ripening conditions diesterified form is
205 synthesized only in the case of capsanthin with more diesterified form being produced again
206 when postharvest ripening was conducted under the natural daylight changes. These findings are
207 in accordance with results of Markus et al.²⁴ who concluded that more sunny days during the
208 ripening period result in more pigments in esterified forms, which are more stable and thus result
209 in better storage properties of produced paprika spice.

210 Color properties of the surface of fresh pepper fruits from the field in different maturity stage i.e.
211 in fruits of very distinctive visual appearance regarding color when expressed in CIELab system
212 (Table 5) indicate that there is no significant difference among fruits in different ripening stages
213 regarding the lightness (L^*) while the blue/yellow ratio (b^*) only slightly changes to positive
214 values as ripening progresses, indicating domination of yellow over blue color. The main change
215 during ripening, which results in visual perception of fruit distinction, is related to change of
216 green/red ratio (a^*). The values of a^* change from negative values (indicating green fruits) over
217 to zero for breaker stage of maturity (indicating balanced ratio of red and green tones) to high
218 positive values for faint red fruits. Differences in green/red ratio (a^*) result also in significant
219 differences in psychrometric chroma (C^*) among fresh pepper fruits at different ripening stages.
220 Dominant wavelength for green and breaker fruits is in the range of yellow part of the spectra
221 while for faint red fruits it inclines more to the orange spectral range.

222 Observations regarding the CIELab color properties of ground red paprika obtained from green
223 pepper fruits ripened under different postharvest treatments (Table 6) illustrate that the most
224 intensive red color was obtained for ground paprika produced from green fruits ripened under

225 natural daylight changes. Ground paprika produced from the fruit ripened in the dark had less
226 apparently visible red color while in paprika ground from directly dried green pepper the a^* value
227 indicates balanced intensity of green and red tones.

228 The positive value of b^* confirms domination of yellow tones for all examined samples, with
229 more intensive yellow tone for paprika produced from pepper ripened by postharvest treatment
230 under natural daylight changes than in the dark. This observation can be related to higher ratio of
231 red/yellow pigment and higher capsanthin content in respect to capsorubin in paprika from
232 pepper ripened in the dark (Table 3). Ground red paprika, regardless of ripening conditions of
233 pepper fruits from which were produced showed dominant wavelengths in yellow spectral range.

234 ASTA value increased from 3.97 in ground paprika obtained from green pepper dried and
235 grinded shortly after the harvest to 23.10 in ground paprika obtained from green pepper ripened
236 for 15 days in the dark and even to 42.75 for ground paprika obtained from green pepper ripened
237 for 15 days under natural daylight changes.

238 Based, on the L^* , a^* and b^* values from Table 6 color differences (ΔE_{ab}^*) between ground red
239 paprika samples obtained under different ripening conditions were calculated. Color differences
240 between ground paprika obtained from green pepper dried and grinded shortly after the harvest
241 and ground paprika obtained from green pepper ripened for 15 days in the dark and under the
242 natural daylight changes were 6.18 and 10.51 respectively, while the difference between two
243 postharvest ripening treatments was 5.22. Color difference (ΔE_{ab}^*) indicates the degree of color
244 difference between two samples. ΔE_{ab}^* value in the range of 0–0.5 signifies an imperceptible
245 difference in color between two samples, 0.5–1.5 a slight difference, 1.5–3.0 a just noticeable
246 difference, 3.0–6.0 an apparent difference, 6.0–12.0 an extremely apparent difference and above
247 12.0 a color of a different shade.^{28,29} Obtained results indicate that color differences are apparent
248 to extremely apparent with less expressed difference for paprika which has ripened in the dark.

249 **References**

- 250 1. American Spice Trade Association. *Official Analytical Methods of the American Spice Trade*
251 *Association*. 2nd. ed. Amer. Spice Trade Assn, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, **1968**.
- 252 2. Tepić, A.N.; Vujčić, B.L. Colour change in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) during storage. *Acta*
253 *Period. Technol.*, **2004**, *35*, 59-64
- 254 3. Topuz, A.; Dincer, C.; Özdemir K.S.; Feng H.; Kushad, M. Influence of different drying
255 methods on carotenoids and capsaicinoids of paprika (Cv., Jalapeno), *Food Chem.*, **2011**,
256 *129*, 860-865
- 257 4. Tepić, A.N.; Šumić, Z.M.; Vukan, M.B. Influence of particle diameter on the colour of ground
258 pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Acta Period. Technol.* **2010**, *41*, 87-93.
- 259 5. Kispeter, J.; Bajusz-Kabok, K.; Fekete, M.; Szabo, G.; Fodor, E.; Pali, T. Changes induced in
260 spice paprika powder by treatment with ionizing radiation and saturated steam. *Radiat.*
261 *Phys. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 893-900.
- 262 6. Krajayklang, M.; Klieber, A.; Dry, P.R. Acceleration of the drying rates of paprika fruit with
263 drying oil and cutting. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *36*, 207-214.
- 264 7. Niento-Sandoval, J.M.; Fernández-López, J.A.; Almela, L.; Muñoz, J.A. Dependence between
265 apparent color and extractable color in paprika. *Col. Res. Appl.* **1999**, *24* (2), 93-97.
- 266 8. Meléndez-Martínez, A.; Britton, G., Vicario, I.; Heredia, F. Relationship between the colour
267 and the chemical structure of carotenoid pigments. *Food Chem.*, **2007**, *101*, 1145–1150.
- 268 9. Hornero-Méndez, D.; Gómez-Ladrón de Guevara, R.; Mínguez-Mosquera, I. Carotenoid
269 biosynthesis changes in five red pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cultivars during ripening.
270 Cultivar Selection for Breeding. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48*, 3857-3864.

- 271 10. Collera-Zúñiga, O.; García Jiménez, F.; Meléndez Gordillo, R. Comparative study of
272 carotenoid composition in three Mexican varieties of *Capsicum annuum* L. *Food Chem.*
273 **2005**, *90*, 109–114.
- 274 11. Deli, J.; Matus, Z.; Szabolcs, J. Carotenoid Composition in the Fruits of Black Paprika
275 (*Capsicum annuum* Variety *longum nigrum*) during Ripening. *J. Agric, Food Chem.* **1992**,
276 *40*, 2072-2076.
- 277 12. Deli, J.; Matus, Z.; Tóth, G. Carotenoid Composition in the Fruits of *Capsicum annuum* Cv.
278 Szentesi Kosszarvú during Ripening, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1996**, *44*, 711-716.
- 279 13. Deli, J.; Matus, Z.; Molnár, P.; Tóth, G. Separation and identification of carotenoids from
280 different coloured paprika (*Capsicum annuum*) by reversed-phase high-performance liquid
281 chromatography. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2001**, *213*, 301-305.
- 282 14. Mínguez-Mosquera, M. I.; Jarén-Galán, M.; Garrido-Fernández, J. Effect of processing of
283 paprika on the main carotenes and esterified xanthophylls present in the fresh fruit. *J. Agric.*
284 *Food Chem.* **1993**, *41*, 2120-2124.
- 285 15. Mínguez-Mosquera, I.; Pérez-Gálvez, A.; Garrido-Fernández, J. Carotenoid Content of the
286 Varieties Jaranda and Jariza (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and Response during the Industrial
287 Slow Drying and Grinding Steps in Paprika Processing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48*,
288 2972-2976.
- 289 16. Wettstein, D. Chlorophyll-letale und der Submikroskopische Formwechsel der Plastiden. *Exp.*
290 *Cell Res.* **1957**, *12*, 427–506.
- 291 17. Mínguez-Mosquera, M.I.; Hornero-Méndez, D. Separation and quantification of carotenoid
292 pigments in red peppers (*Capsicum annum* L.), paprika and oleoresin by reversed-phase
293 HPLC. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **1993**, *41*, 1616-1620.

- 294 18. Morais, H.; Ramos, A.C.; Cserhádi, T.; Forgács, E. Effect of fluorescent light and vacuum
295 packaging on the rate of decomposition of pigment in paprika (*Capsicum annuum*) powder
296 determined by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr., A*,
297 **2001**, *936*, 139-144.
- 298 19. Kevrešan Ž.; Mandić A.; Kuhajda, K.; Sakač, M. Carotenoid content in fresh and dry pepper
299 *Capsicum Annuum* L. fruits for paprika production, *Food Processing, Quality and Safety*,
300 **2009**, *1-2*, 21-27
- 301 20. StatSoft, Inc. (2011). *STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 10*.
302 www.statsoft.com.
- 303 21. Mínguez-Mosquera, M. I.; Hornero-Méndez, D. Changes in carotenoid esterification during
304 the fruit ripening of *Capsicum annuum* CV. Bola. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **1994**, *42*, 640-644.
- 305 22. Mínguez-Mosquera, M. I.; Hornero-Méndez, D. Comparative study of the effect of paprika
306 processing on the carotenoids in peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L.) of the Bola and Agridulce
307 varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1994**, *42*, 1555-1560.
- 308 23. Breithaupt D.E.; Schwack, W. Determination of free and bound carotenoids in paprika
309 (*Capsicum annum* L.) by LC/MS. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, **2000**, *211*, 52-55
- 310 24. Márkus, F.; Daood, H.G.; Kapitány, J.; Biacs, P.A. Change in the carotenoid and antioxidant
311 content of spice red pepper (paprika) as a function of ripening and some technological
312 factors. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47*, 100-107.
- 313 25. Borghesi, E.; González-Miret, M.L.; Escudero-Gilete, M.L.; Malorgio, F.; Heredia, F.J.;
314 Meléndez-Martínez, A.J. Effects of salinity stress on carotenoids, anthocyanins, and color
315 of diverse tomato genotypes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 11676-11682.

- 316 26. Fratianni,A.; Giuzio, L.; Di Criscio, T.; Zina, F.; Panfili, G. Response of Carotenoids and
317 Tocols of Durum Wheat in Relation to Water Stress and Sulfur Fertilization. *J. Agric. Food*
318 *Chem.* **2013**, *61*, 2583-2590.
- 319 27. Chebrolu, K.C.; Jayaprakasha, G.K.; Jifon, J.; Patil, B.S. Production system and storage
320 temperature influence grapefruit vitamin C, limonoids, and carotenoids. *J. Agric. Food*
321 *Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 7096-7103.
- 322 28. Young, K.W.; Whittle, J. Colour measurement of fish minces using Hunter L, a, b values. *J.*
323 *Sci. Food Agric.* **1985**, *36*, 383–392.
- 324 29. Kim, S.; Park, J.; Hwang, I.K. Quality attributes of various varieties of Korean red pepper
325 powders (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and color stability during sunlight exposure. *J. Food Sci.*,
326 **2002**, *67*, 2957–2961.
- 327 ¹

¹ This work was supported by the Project III 46001, financed by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia.

Figure 1. Scheme of conducted experiment

Table 1. Pigment Content (mg/kg dry matter) in Fresh Pepper Fruits Ripened in the Field.

Total content of pigments	Fresh pepper picked from the field in different ripening stages		
	Green	Breaker	Faint red
YELLOW PIGMENTS			
β-carotene	N.D. ¹	22.7 ^b	207.8 ^c
Zeaxanthin	28.2 ^a	41.5 ^b	211.6 ^c
Antheraxantin	9.4 ^a	9.6 ^a	N.D. ¹
Violaxanthin	50.7 ^a	18.3 ^b	63.4 ^a
Non identified yellow pigments	268.6 ^b	212.9 ^a	480.7 ^c
RED PIGMENTS			
Capsanthin	N.D. ¹	293.1 ^b	1841.9 ^c
Capsorubin	N.D. ¹	40.7 ^b	162.4 ^c
GREEN PIGMENTS			
Chlorophyl (<i>a+b</i>)	126.64 ^a	69.04 ^b	N.D. ¹

¹N.D. - not detected

Means within the same row followed by different letters were significantly different at $p \leq 0.01$.

Table 2. Contents of Pigments in Ester Forms (mg/kg dry matter) in Fresh Pepper Fruits Ripened in the Field.

Pigments	Esterification	Fresh pepper picked from the field in different ripening stages		
		Green	Breaker	Faint red
Zeaxanthin	nonesterified	28.2	20.6	60.2
	monoesterified	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	20.9	151.4
Yellow pigments	nonesterified	348	259.0	590.2
	monoesterified	8.9	25.1	221.9
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	20.9	151.4
Capsanthin	nonesterified	N.D. ¹	111.4	325.8
	monoesterified	N.D. ¹	72.0	621.9
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	109.7	894.2
Capsorubin	nonesterified	N.D. ¹	23.4	19.4
	monoesterified	N.D. ¹	6.6	69.4
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	10.7	73.6

¹N.D. - not detected

Table 3. Pigment Content (mg/kg dry matter) in Ground Paprika Obtained From Green Pepper Ripened Under Different Postharvest Ripening Conditions.

Total content of pigments	Green pepper dried and grinded after different postharvest ripening treatments		
	Dried without ripening	Ripened in the dark	Ripened under natural daylight changes
YELLOW PIGMENTS			
β-carotene	14.34 ^a	7.48 ^b	14.50 ^a
Zeaxanthin	32.94 ^a	4.56 ^b	8.45 ^b
Antheraxantin	N.D. ¹	3.32 ^b	4.41 ^b
Violaxanthin	N.D. ¹	4.76 ^b	4.79 ^b
Non identified yellow pigments	4.00 ^a	23.99 ^b	33.79 ^b
RED PIGMENTS			
Capsanthin	N.D. ¹	146.39 ^b	200.66 ^c
Capsorubin	7.27 ^a	10.48 ^{ab}	17.54 ^b
GREEN PIGMENTS			
Chlorophyl (a+b)	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹

¹N.D. - not detected

Means within the same row followed by different letters were significantly different at $p \leq 0.01$.

Table 4. Contents of Pigments in Ester Forms (mg/kg dry matter) in Paprika Ripened in the Field and Under Different Postharvest Ripening Conditions.

Pigments	Esterification	Green pepper dried and grinded after different postharvest ripening treatments		
		Dried without ripening	Ripened in the dark	Ripened under natural daylight changes
Zeaxanthin	nonesterified	32.94	4.56	8.45
	monoesterified	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹
Yellow pigments	nonesterified	47.28	38.82	55.57
	monoesterified	4.00	5.29	10.37
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹
Capsanthin	nonesterified	N.D. ¹	70.03	83.32
	monoesterified	N.D. ¹	18.73	41.66
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	57.63	75.68
Capsorubin	nonesterified	3.03	2.89	4.20
	monoesterified	4.24	7.59	13.34
	diesterified	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹	N.D. ¹

¹N.D. - not detected

Table 5. CIELab Color Properties of Surface of Fresh Pepper Fruits From the Field in Different Ripening Stages.

CIELab color properties	Fresh pepper picked from the field in different ripening stages		
	green	breaker	red
L*	35.80 ^a	33.16 ^a	33.77 ^a
a*	-17.65 ^a	1.20 ^b	39.23 ^c
b*	27.00 ^{ab}	33.16 ^a	22.98 ^b
C*	30.78 ^b	14.09 ^a	44.05 ^c
Dominant wavelength (μm)	562 ^a	579 ^b	615 ^c

Means within the same row followed by different letters were significantly different at $p \leq 0.01$.

Table 6. ASTA and CIELab color properties of ground paprika obtained from green pepper ripened under different postharvest ripening conditions.

	Without ripening	Ripening in the dark	Ripening under natural daylight changes
CIELab color properties			
L*	41.42 ^c	39.20 ^c	38.67 ^c
a*	2.22 ^c	7.97 ^b	11.94 ^a
b*	22.33 ^b	21.88 ^b	25.23 ^a
C*	20.92 ^c	21.76 ^b	26.40 ^a
Dominant wavelength (μm)	579.0 ^c	584.0 ^b	588.5 ^a
ASTA	3.97 ^c	23.10 ^b	42.75 ^a

Means within the same row followed by different letters were significantly different at $p \leq 0.01$.



